BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA

TUESDAY 10:00 A.M. JUNE 9, 2015

PRESENT:

Marsha Berkbigler, Chair
Kitty Jung, Vice Chair (Via Telephone)*
Vaughn Hartung, Commissioner
Jeanne Herman, Commissioner
Bob Lucey, Commissioner

Nancy Parent, County Clerk
Kevin Schiller, Assistant County Manager
Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel

The Washoe County Board of Commissioners convened at 10:00 a.m. in regular session in the Commission Chambers of the Washoe County Administration Complex, 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada. Following the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of our Country, the Clerk called the roll and the Board conducted the following business:

AGENDA ITEM 3 Public Comment. Comment heard under this item will be limited to three minutes per person and may pertain to matters both on and off the Commission agenda. The Commission will also hear public comment during individual action items, with comment limited to three minutes per person. Comments are to be made to the Commission as a whole.

Carol Burns stated she was a Sun Valley resident and that she understood there were costs to having a Citizens Advisory Board (CAB). She felt the County realized sufficient savings that could be put towards the CAB since Sun Valley Parks were now being managed by the Sun Valley Water District. She thought the reason residents were not coming to the Board meetings to demand a CAB was because many of them were elderly or ill. She was told the Board already made the choice not to support a Sun Valley CAB and she asked them to reevaluate that decision.

Tim Stoffel, Sierra Safari Zoo, said he discovered all the information that was on file at the State Legislature was submitted by animal rights people. He claimed less than 1 percent of the United States Humane Society's money was utilized for actual animal issues. He thought they were out to attack animal owners because they wanted animals to have the same rights as people, which meant they were trying to prevent people from having pets, eating meat, wearing fur, hunting, and conducting animal shows and zoos. He thought everyone should be concerned with animal welfare, but he thought the Board had a duty to review animal laws to determine whether they were doing any

good or were meant to harass animal owners. He urged the Board to work toward creating fair and balanced laws to protect both animals and the people who owned them.

John Howitt spoke about the Board's duty to select a member of the Reno Tahoe Airport Authority Board of Trustees. He said that would be one of the most important selections the Board would make because the Trustee would be responsible for passing a \$50 million budget, overseeing \$1 billion in community assets, and for determining policy that would affect community health, safety, and welfare. He stated the selection must be based on qualifications and experience rather than political or social connections. He said Dr. Robert Larkin was the most qualified and experienced person and had been instrumental in establishing strategic priorities, fostering an open and transparent form of government, paying down debt, and increasing airport capital reserves. He said good government did not just happen; it started with the selection of the most qualified candidate with a proven track record of success.

Jeff Church said he owned property in the unincorporated County and ran a web site about public safety. He noted there would be a meeting between the County, the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD), and the City of Reno on June 15th at 1:30 p.m. He said the location of the meeting should be moved from Reno City Hall to the Commission Chambers because he thought the City's facilities were too small. He said he had a number of concerns about the audit report regarding the Forensics Lab/Dispatch Agreement between the County and the City of Reno and he felt Reno should pay their fair share just like Sparks did. He said Reno was biting the hand that fed them by suing the TMFPD and the County over fire services. He reviewed some minutes from past Board meetings wherein the Forensics Agreement was discussed and stated the issue still needed to be resolved.

Sam Dehne spoke about his attendance at local government meetings, the merger of the Fire Districts, and the Reno Tahoe Airport Authority Board of Trustees.

Janice Flanagan spoke about Agenda Item 31 regarding billboards. She asked the Board to direct staff not to change Code provisions for signs because she thought they would contradict the will of the voters to prohibit the expansion of billboards. She said she understood businesses needed to advertise, but she thought the billboards would have a negative impact on the community.

John Potash, Get Rattled, came before the Board to talk about the proposed changes to Chapter 55 of the County Code. He said on one hand there was an extreme group of people whose arguments were based on idealistic beliefs rather than hard facts; and on the other hand there were people who cared for and worked with animals every day who found it difficult to take time away from their busy lives to defend their pets, businesses, and livelihoods. He stated most of the proposed changes were suggested by animal rights groups and common sense would dictate that any governmental agency should seek the counsel of experienced experts before creating any new laws. He recommended the Board consider dismissing the proposed changes and create an interactive workshop. He urged the Board to listen to the advice of true animal

PAGE 2 JUNE 9, 2015

experts to create an educated Code that would allow Animal Services to do their jobs and also allow people to pursue their freedoms.

AGENDA ITEM 4 Commissioners'/Manager's announcements, reports/updates from County Commission members concerning various boards/commissions they may be a member of or liaison to. Requests for information, topics for future agendas and any ideas and suggestions for greater efficiency, cost effectiveness and innovation in County government.

Kevin Schiller, Assistant County Manager, stated the agenda item regarding the appointment of a Reno Tahoe Airport Authority Board member was scheduled to be heard at 11:00 a.m. and the agenda item regarding the appointment of a Social Services Director would be heard at 4:00 p.m.

Mr. Schiller read a letter, which was addressed to County Manager John Slaughter, in regards to Animal Services Officer Oscar Tejeta. The letter stated Officer Tejeta was observed handling a situation involving a neighborhood dispute with professionalism, confidence, and compassion. The letter writer was impressed with Officer Tejeta's judgement as he utilized options to ensure the safety of the neighborhood.

Mr. Schiller also read a letter regarding County Clerk employees Roxana Miller and Cathy Smith. The letter writer was grateful for the help she received to become certified to conduct the marriage ceremony for her son and his bride. She wrote that it made her dream a reality.

Commissioner Herman asked for an agenda item to discuss the possibility of increasing the Park Department's budget. She also asked for an agenda item to discuss the American Lands Council membership. She said she wanted a report on how the County was doing in regards to Revised Statute 2477 (RS 2477) Roads to determine if things were up to date and deadlines had been met. She thanked everyone who helped to improve the Citizen Advisory Board (CAB) program.

Commissioner Hartung reported the 10th anniversary celebration of the Spanish Springs Library took place on May 31st and there was a great turnout. He said Chair Berkbigler was honored as a Woman of Achievement on May 28th. He commended her for all the hard work she did for the community and thanked her for being a great leader. He stated he and Mr. Schiller would be reviewing the way kids were brought into the Kids Cottage facility to try to reduce issues with bed bugs and lice. He thought a few simple changes could save the County a lot of money. He looked forward to coming back to the Board with an update about it.

Commissioner Lucey stated he attended the grand opening of Grand Rounds which was a medical facility that allowed health care professionals to connect with individuals all over the world. He said the new distribution facility currently

employed 30 people and there were plans to increase that number to 200 in the next six months. He thought it was fantastic for the community and a big win for the Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada (EDAWN). He stated he and Commissioner Hartung attended the unveiling of a new traffic signal on North Virginia Street in front of the Bonanza Casino and he thought it was a huge step in the right direction in regards to traffic and pedestrian safety. He said this one traffic signal would not be the answer to all the problems in the region, but the County and the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) was committed to continuing the effort to ensure pedestrian safety was a primary focus. He was honored to attend the opening ceremony of the Northern Nevada Special Olympic Summer Games (Games) where he read a Proclamation declaring June 5th and 6th as Northern Nevada Special Olympics Summer Games Day. He said athletes came from all over the State to participate in the Games, which were held at the University of Nevada, Reno. He said a group of citizens got together to form an organization called Reno Ice and they were proposing to install an ice skating rink next to the South Valleys Sports Complex. He explained the group raised money through private donations to help better the community, which he thought was phenomenal. He stated he would be holding a District Forum to discuss the Medical Marijuana Establishment (MME) dispensaries, specifically regarding the locations on Mount Rose Highway and Thomas Creek Road. He said due to an overwhelming response, the Forum would be held at the Galena High School theatre to ensure everyone's voice would be heard.

Chair Berkbigler introduced Deputy Bill Daniel and Deputy Suzanne Fisher from the Sheriff's Office. She also introduced their police dogs, which she said provided a wonderful service to the County. Chair Berkbigler asked Deputy Daniel to talk a little bit about the dogs. Deputy Daniel stated there were nine police dogs in the Sheriff's Office and he described the type of work they did. He said they looked for dogs that were driven to hunt and had good social skills. He explained the police dog program was completely self-funded through grants and donors.

Chair Berkbigler said she was curious about the Sun Valley Parks and she wanted to know if the County gave the parks to the Sun Valley General Improvement District (SVGID). She stated the Board had approved It's My Community Store as a supplier to the County and she wanted a report to explain why the supplier was not necessarily being utilized.

Commissioner Hartung thought Jeff Church brought up a salient point during public comment. He said the suggestion to move the June 15th meeting to the Commission Chambers was a good idea because it was larger and the parking was easier to deal with. He asked staff to try to work with the Reno City Council to relocate the meeting.

Commissioner Lucey stated his District was looking for a new appointment to the Planning Commission and he urged citizens who wanted to be involved to contact their Commissioners to apply for a seat. Chair Berkbigler stated she also had an opening in her District.

PAGE 4 JUNE 9, 2015

CONSENT ITEMS 5A THROUGH 5J2

- **5A** Approve minutes for the regular Board of County Commission meeting of April 14, 2015 and April 21, 2015.
- 15-0432 <u>5B</u> Acknowledge receipt of annual report of projected expenditures for the Account for the Acquisition and Improvement of Technology in the Office of the County Assessor for FY 2015/2016.
- 15-0433 <u>5C</u> Approve the creation of an intermittent hourly Public Service Intern position for the Comptroller's Department, not to exceed the maximum annual cost of \$14,027.
- 15-0434 <u>5D</u> Approve the conversion of the Guardian Case Manager job classification, pay grade L, to a flexibly staffed series consisting of a Guardian Case Manager II, pay grade L, a Guardian Case Manager I, pay grade K, and a Guardian Case Manager Trainee, pay grade I (Public Guardian) as evaluated by the Job Evaluation Committee, and elimination of unused or obsolete job classifications as listed in Exhibit 1. There is no fiscal impact associated with these actions.
- 5E Approve recommendations for Commission District Special Fund grants for Fiscal Year 2014-2015 for Commission District 4 and District 5 in a [total amount of \$26,244; \$13,122 per District]; District 4 Commissioner Vaughn Hartung recommends [\$13,122] grant to Washoe County Senior Center to support and enhance the programs and activities offered at the Senior Center in Sparks; District 5 Commissioner Jeanne Herman recommends [\$13,122] grant to Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District in support of renovations and improvements to the Palomino Valley Fire Station 229 Auxiliary; approve Resolutions necessary for same, and direct the Comptroller's Office to make the necessary budget adjustments.

Commissioner Hartung said he wanted everyone to know how he and Commissioner Herman were spending their discretionary funds. He stated Commissioner Herman's funds were going to the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD) in support of renovations and improvements at the Palomino Valley Fire Station and his were going to the Senior Center in Sparks for some of their needs, including a new Bingo board.

15-0436 <u>SF</u> Accept grant award from the Nevada Aging and Disability Services Division for the following Older Americans Act Title III Programs: Nutrition Services Incentive Program [\$109,985 no match required] retroactive from October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015; and direct Comptroller to make the appropriate budget adjustments.

15-0437 <u>5G1</u> Approve re-appointment of Sarah Chvilicek to the Washoe County Planning Commission, on the recommendation of the Washoe County Commission Chair, to represent Commission District 5 on the Planning Commission for a term beginning on July 1, 2015, and ending on June 30, 2019, or until such time as a successor is appointed.

15-0438 <u>5G2</u> Appoint Sarah Chvilicek to the Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Commission for an unexpired term beginning July 1, 2015, and ending June 30, 2017, or until such time as a successor is appointed; and re-appoint James Barnes to the Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Commission for a term beginning July 1, 2015, and ending June 30, 2018, or until such time as a successor is appointed.

15-0439 <u>5G3</u> Accept a donation from the Greater Reno Community Ice Skating Association [\$40,000] to fund an update to the South Valleys Regional Park Master Plan; and direct the Comptroller's Office to make the appropriate budget adjustments.

Chair Berkbigler acknowledged and thanked Joel Grace, Greater Reno Community Ice Skating Association, for the donation.

In response to the call for public comment, Steve Ulrich urged the Board to accept the donation and to lend their full support to the building of the ice skating facility. He said it would encourage both youth and adult participation in ice hockey and figure skating programs; and would have a positive financial impact on the region.

Mr. Grace thanked the Board for their participation. He said this was the culmination of 18 months of hard work by the Board and the donation would allow them to get started on the Master Plan so the project could get underway. He was very excited about the project.

5H1 Approve payments totaling [\$8,944] to vendors for assistance of 54 victims of sexual assault and authorize Comptroller to process same. NRS 217.310 requires payment by the County of total initial medical care of victims, regardless of cost, and of follow-up treatment costs of up to \$1,000 for victims, victim's spouses and other eligible persons.

5H2 Approve Interlocal Agreement between the County of Washoe, on behalf of its Northern Nevada Child Abuse Response & Evaluations/Sexual Assault Response Team, and the County of Placer, California, for the Washoe County Child Abuse Response and Evaluation and Sexual Assault Response Team (CARES/SART) to provide emergency sexual assault examinations to Placer County victims of sexual assault from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2017.

PAGE 6 JUNE 9, 2015

- 15-0442 <u>5H3</u> Approve supplemental funding from the US Department of Justice, United States Attorney, Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force (OCDETF) for reimbursement of overtime costs incurred while involved in the investigation of OCDETF Initiative number PA-NV-0266. Supplemental funding not to exceed [\$5,000.00] no match required, retroactive for fiscal year 2014-2015. Direct the Comptroller to make the necessary budget adjustments.
- 5I1 Approve Fiscal Year 2015 Local Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) award funds, sub granted through Reno Police Department, [\$41,792.40, no County match required] for purchase of Law Enforcement equipment, Law Enforcement related training and travel, and overtime and approve updated Interlocal Agreement between the City of Reno, on behalf of the Reno Police Department, and Washoe County, on behalf of the Washoe County Sheriff's Office for the management and disposition of the 2015 Justice Assistance (JAG) Program Award; and direct Comptroller's Office to make appropriate budget adjustments. Retroactive grant period is 10/1/14 through 9/30/18.
- 15-0444 <u>5I2</u> Approve Sheriff's Security Agreement between the Lake Tahoe Visitors Authority and the Washoe County Sheriff's Office to provide traffic control assistance for the AMGEN Pro Women's Bicycle Race [costs to be reimbursed by LTVA] and other various functions, for the retroactive period of May 8, 2015 through December 31, 2017 within Incline Village, Washoe County, Nevada.
- **5I3** Approve and award proposal # QUO003379/7 to Hamilton Robotics for the partially grant-funded purchase of a Hamilton easyPunch STARlet system, including a 1 year extended warranty, for DNA testing for [IO 11103: \$74,477.60 & IO 20391: \$2,360.88 for a net total of \$76,838.48] to be used by the Washoe County Sheriff's Office Forensic Science Division and if approved, authorize Purchasing to make the purchase and direct Comptroller's Office to make the necessary budget adjustments.
- 15-0446 <u>5J1</u> Approve and execute Resolution directing County Treasurer to give notice of the sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent special assessment in the following districts: WCAD 21 Cold Springs Sewer, WCAD 29 Mt. Rose Sewer Phase 1, WCAD 32 Spanish Springs Valley Ranch Rd, WCAD 35 Rhodes Road, WCAD 37 Spanish Springs Sewer Phase 1A, WCAD 39 Lightning W Water System Supply Improvement, (additional description of affected parcels contained in exhibit A of attached Resolution).
- **15-0447 5J2** Approve to establish two, two hundred dollar (\$200) change funds, for a [total of four hundred dollars \$400], to enable the Washoe County Alternative Sentencing to accept cash payments from probationers for

probation supervision, lab fees and other specialty court fees at two locations, 1 South Sierra Street, Reno and Sparks Justice Court, Sparks; and, if approved, authorize the Chairman to execute Resolution for same.

There was no public comment on the Consent Agenda Items listed above.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Items 5A through 5J2 be approved and authorized. Any and all Resolutions or Interlocal Agreements pertinent to Consent Agenda Items 5A through 5J2 are attached hereto and made part of the minutes thereof.

BLOCK VOTE – AGENDA ITEMS 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, AND 26

AGENDA ITEM 7 Recommendation to approve Resolution to augment the Health Benefits Fund to increase expenditure authority in the amount of \$1,600,000 for fiscal year 2014-15.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7 be approved. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the record thereof.

AGENDA ITEM 8 Request by the Washoe County District Attorney through the Washoe County Clerk pursuant to Washoe County Code 2.030 to direct the Clerk to submit to the District Attorney requests for preparation of proposed ordinances which are made necessary by new or amended laws from the 78th Nevada Legislature (2015).

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8 be directed.

AGENDA ITEM 9 Recommendation to approve receipt of a direct grant award [\$151,608, no County match required] from the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP), FY2014, Project number 2014-AP-BX-0825, to the Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Detention Bureau. Beginning date of the grant term is retroactive to October 1, 2014, with no end date. If approved, direct the Comptroller's Office to make the necessary budget adjustments.

PAGE 8 JUNE 9, 2015

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 9 be approved and directed.

AGENDA ITEM 10 Recommendation to approve the Contract for Health Care Services for the Washoe County Detention Facility between Washoe County and NaphCare, Inc. for Detention Inmate Medical Services for the period of June 10, 2015 through May 31, 2017 with the option to renew the contract for two (2) additional one-year periods in the amount of [\$5,762,317.01 for year 1, \$5,862,317 for year 2; optional \$6,061,635.78 for year 3; & \$6,267,731.39 for year 4] based on an average daily population (ADP) of 1200 inmates; or authorize an interim agreement with NaphCare, Inc. until a new RFP can be released.

Chair Berkbigler wondered if NaphCare, Inc. was a new vendor or if the County had used them in the past. Captain Heidi Howe, Sheriff's Office, stated the vendor had not been used in the County before, but was currently serving Clark County.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 10 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 11 Recommendation to approve the 2016 Interlocal Agreement to Use Account for Low-Income Housing Welfare Set-Aside Funds by Washoe County between Washoe County and the Nevada Housing Division of the Department of Business and Industry of the State of Nevada in the amount of [\$162,015; no match required] to provide emergency housing assistance effective July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2018; and direct the Comptroller's Office to make necessary budget adjustments.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 11 be approved and directed. The Interlocal Agreement for same is attached hereto and made a part of the record thereof.

AGENDA ITEM 12 Recommendation to approve Fiscal Year 2015/2016 renewal of contracts and service agreements above \$100,000 to be approved as a group by the Board of County Commissioners and authorization for the Purchasing Contracts Manager to sign the contract

renewals, as they come due, with approval from the District Attorney or Risk Management when necessary as follows: General Fund - Thomson Reuters, not to exceed [\$300,000] - Software Maintenance Agreement for the Treasurer's Tax System and the Assessor's Personal Property Data Basic Support. SAP, Renewal of SAP Financial Software System Software Maintenance Agreement, not to exceed [\$300,000].

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 12 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 13 Recommendation to approve sole source purchases of computer network equipment, servers, data storage, PCs (personal computers), and printers through joinder with the Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA) Master Price Agreements for State of Nevada with Dell Inc. and Extreme Networks, Inc.; and approve expenditures that will aggregate to exceed [\$100,000] but will remain within available adopted budget funding during Fiscal Year 2015-2016 for technology infrastructure, not to exceed [\$2,000,000].

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 13 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 14 Recommendation to approve sole source purchases of Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) hardware and software; and consulting, implementation, maintenance and support services from BCT Conferencing, Inc. and approve expenditures that will aggregate to exceed [\$100,000] but will remain within available adopted budget funding during Fiscal Year 2015-2016 for technology infrastructure, not to exceed [\$450,000].

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 14 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 15 Recommendation to approve joinder on the US Communities contract with Graybar, US Communities Master Agreement MA_IS_1040222_4 for County of Los Angeles, California until this agreement expires; and joinder on the GSA contracts with Accu-Tech, GSA Schedule 70: GS-35F-0499N and GSA Schedule 84: GS-07F-0309U

PAGE 10 JUNE 9, 2015

until this agreement expires; for the purchase of Telecommunications Supplies and Accessories; and approve expenditures that will aggregate to exceed [\$100,000] up to a not to exceed of [\$650,000] within the available Fiscal Year 2015-2016 adopted budget for technology infrastructure.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 15 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 16 Recommendation to approve sole source purchases of Microsoft and Adobe licensing through joinder with the Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA) Master Price Agreement for Software Value Added Reseller (SVAR) SHI International and approve expenditures that will aggregate to exceed [\$100,000] up to a maximum of [\$600,000] within the available Fiscal Year 2015-2016 adopted budget for technology infrastructure.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 16 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 17 Recommendation to approve sole source purchases of radio communication system equipment and microwave radio communication equipment through joinder with the Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA) Master Price Agreements for State of Nevada with Harris Corporation, Dailey and Wells Communication, and Alcatel-Lucent; and approve expenditures that will aggregate to exceed [\$100,000] but will remain within available adopted budget funding during Fiscal Year 2015-2016 for Washoe County Regional Communication system, not to exceed [\$1,000,000] all expenditures will require Joint Operating Committee approval.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 17 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 18 Recommendation to approve sole source purchases of DC power equipment through Enersys Power/Full Solutions; and approve expenditures that will aggregate to exceed [\$100,000] but will remain within available adopted budget funding during Fiscal Year 2015-2016 for Washoe County Regional Communication system, not to exceed

[\$200,000] all expenditures will require Joint Operating Committee approval.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 18 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 19 Recommendation of the 911 Emergency Response Advisory Committee to approve Fiscal Year 2015-2016 renewal of reimbursement to the City of Reno, for the salaries and benefits of 1.5 Geographic Information Systems (GIS) positions with Emergency 911 funds to support region-wide E911 GIS mapping services to the Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPS) in an amount not to exceed [\$147,163].

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 19 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 20 Recommendation to award Bid No. 2938-15 to the lowest responsive responsible bidder [staff recommends Cashman Equipment Company in the amount of \$142,000] for the purchase of one (1) new Weiler P385A Paver for the Community Services Department Operations Division.

Commissioner Hartung asked Dave Solaro, Community Services Director, what the paver would be utilized for. Mr. Solaro responded the County used pavers for patching work.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 20 be awarded.

AGENDA ITEM 21 Recommendation to award Bid No. 2936-15 to the lowest responsive responsible bidder [staff recommends Sierra Freightliner Sterling Western Star Inc., in the amount of \$358,800] for the purchase of three (3) replacement 66,000 GVWR Truck Cab/Chassis for the Community Services Department Operations Division.

There was no public comment on this item.

PAGE 12 JUNE 9, 2015

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 21 be awarded.

AGENDA ITEM 22 Recommendation to award a bid and approve the Agreement to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder for the Ventana Parkway Improvement Project [staff recommends Sierra Nevada Construction, Inc., in the amount of \$1,016,007].

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 22 be awarded.

AGENDA ITEM 23 Recommendation to accept a Grant from the Walter S. Johnson Foundation to reform the Department's policies and practices related for foster youth education outcomes effective August 1, 2015 through July 31, 2017 in the amount of \$300,000 [no County match required] and direct the Comptroller's Office to make the necessary budget adjustments.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 23 be accepted and directed.

AGENDA ITEM 26 Discussion and possible approval of updated Citizen Advisory Board by-laws and updated resolutions to enact changes to Constituent Services programs for fiscal year 2016 [Net Zero Impact].

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 26 be approved. The Resolutions for same are attached hereto and made a part of the record thereof.

AGENDA ITEM 6 Discussion and possible action to establish a process to appoint one member on the Reno Tahoe Airport Authority Board of Trustees, term effective July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2019. Possibly including public statements by candidates, interviews, and selection of appointee. Applicants include: Lisa Gianoli, Robert Larkin, Keith Lockard, Terrence Matter, Patricia Phillips, Colleen Rosencrantz, Bonnie Weber, Bill Weber.

Joey Orduna Hastings, Assistant County Manager, asked for direction as to the interview and selection process. She provided a few options for the Board's consideration.

*11:02a.m. Commissioner Jung joined the meeting via telephone.

After some discussion about the process, Chair Berkbigler stated the Board wished each applicant to come forward to give an opening statement after which the Board would select two individuals to continue the process. She said the Board would further question the two candidates later in the day. After some additional discussion it was determined each candidate would have five minutes to present their opening statements.

Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, approved the process and noted it was not legally possible to exclude candidates from the Commission Chambers during the interviews of the others, but the Board could ask the applicants to exclude themselves out of fairness.

Ms. Orduna Hastings stated all of the candidates were open to remaining in the Human Resources conference room until after the opening statements and interviews, but requested permission to stay in Chambers after the statements were made. Commissioner Hartung stated that was fair.

Commissioner Hartung wondered in what order the applicants would be brought forward. Ms. Orduna Hastings replied the past practice was to bring them forward in alphabetical order. Chair Berkbigler stated that was acceptable to the Board.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, stated the Manager's Office provided copies of the questions for the applicants, which would be placed on file and become a part of the record.

Upon the call for the Board to select their preferred questions from the 10 questions listed on the handout, Commissioner Hartung selected question 4, Commissioner Lucey selected question 8, Commissioner Herman selected question 10, Chair Berkbigler selected question 5, and Commissioner Jung selected question 2. Since she was participating by telephone, Commissioner Jung requested the Chair to ask her selected question on her behalf.

The following represents a list of the questions selected and edited to be given to each candidate:

- 1. How involved are you in the overall direction of the community's economic development and tourism?
- 2. What motivates you to seek this appointment and what are your goals?

PAGE 14 JUNE 9, 2015

- 3. How do you define a good working relationship between you and the taxpayers of Washoe County that you will represent?
- 4. In your opinion, what is the role of the state government in creating a vibrant, strong, and diverse economy at the County or regional level?
- 5. Please summarize the qualities/characteristics you bring to this position that would make you the best candidate for the position.

The candidates came forward in the following order to give an opening statement and to respond to the questions selected by the Board.

- 1. LISA GIANOLI
- 2. ROBERT LARKIN
- 3. KEITH LOCKARD
- 4. TERRENCE MATTER
- 5. PATRICIA PHILLIPS
- 6. BONNIE WEBER
- 7. BILL WEBER

At the conclusion of the interviews each Commissioner recommended two candidates to move forward with the process.

Commissioner Jung stated it was a difficult but important decision. She selected Lisa Gianoli because she thought her background in handling controversial situations would be helpful. She also chose Bonnie Weber because she thought the Board would need someone who understood the end-user experience and would advocate for people who accessed airport services.

Commissioner Herman selected Robert Larkin because she appreciated his good record and his experience. She also chose Bill Weber because of his background.

Commissioner Hartung talked about each of the candidates. He said Lisa Gianoli understood lobbying issues and the interactions between people. He said she understood "you don't always win" and that was something that struck home with him. He said Robert Larkin understood how the County process worked and how the different agencies fit together. Mr. Larkin was also a pilot and understood the dynamics of airport structure. Commissioner Hartung stated Keith Lockard served the community in many different capacities, was a great public servant, and was highly qualified. He said Terrence Matter was in the Air Force, served on the Board of the Reno Air Races, and was very impressive. He stated Patricia Phillips wanted to expand economic development and tourism, which he thought was very important. He said Ms. Phillips also worked at the federal level and at the County; and understood that increasing direct flights would make a difference. He stated Bonnie Weber was a former Commissioner, served on the Board of the Reno-Sparks Convention and Visitors Authority (RSCVA), understood the Airport Authority, and had great institutional knowledge. He said Bill Weber was a great

leader, personally helped guide him through the process as a Planning Commissioner, was a retired police officer, understood regional issues, had great leadership skills, was a great volunteer, wanted the airport to be a hub, and wanted to improve service delivery. Commissioner Hartung said he thought it was very important to note all of the qualifications of the seven candidates. He chose Lisa Gianoli and Robert Larkin.

Commissioner Lucey said this was a difficult decision for him. He said he understood the position was a dynamic one and he felt confident in Lisa Gianoli and Terrence Matter.

Chair Berkbigler said she appreciated Commissioner Hartung's summary about each candidate and she agreed with him. She said the County was lucky to have so many citizens who wanted to be active in the community and it was a much more difficult decision than she thought it would be. She selected Lisa Gianoli and Bonnie Weber.

Ms. Orduna Hastings stated there was a majority vote for Lisa Gianoli.

On the call for public comment, Michael B. Stuart, JLM Industrial Supply, stated his support for Robert Larkin. He had high regard for Dr. Larkin's skills and experience in business and financial matters as well as his aviation experience and knowledge as a current Trustee on the Airport Authority Board. He stated Dr. Larkin's strengths included a thorough understanding about where the community was going in terms of economic growth and the aviation industry. He thought that was important since Reno was one of six States that was chosen for unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) activity. He said the Airport Authority Board had a lot of work to do to bring international flights to Reno and to expand Class C airspace. He urged the Board to consider the reappointment of Dr. Larkin.

John Howitt thought there were a lot of great candidates, but he disagreed with the Board's decision because he thought Robert Larkin was the best choice. He said he heard people talk about increasing airport service but he thought that was naïve because multi-million dollar businesses would only come to Reno if the demand was created and sustained. He said the suggestion for taxpayers to provide seat guarantees for airlines would prematurely push airlines to come to Reno before there was substantial demand, which would result in failure. He thought it was important to understand that half of the \$50 million budget came from federal tax dollars, which was subject to the airport remaining open to all classes of aircraft. He said the local taxpayers would be left holding the bag if the airport lost their federal funding, which was why he felt it was important to appoint an experienced person. He said he appreciated the qualifications of all the candidates and would look forward to working with Lisa Gianoli.

Robert H. Meurer, President Reno Tahoe Aviation Group, described his background and stated his support for Robert Larkin. He said Mr. Larkin had done a tremendous job helping the aviation community and, even though Mr. Larkin was his preferred choice for Airport Trustee, he looked forward to working with Lisa Gianoli.

PAGE 16 JUNE 9, 2015

Camille Knox said she came to support Robert Larkin, but she looked forward to working with Lisa Gianoli.

Cathy Brandhorst spoke about matters of concern to herself.

County Clerk Parent stated Susan Hartley and Lyndle Farris did not wish to speak to the Board, but submitted comment cards to relay their support for Robert Larkin. She said Jim Rundle also submitted a comment card, which stated his support for Robert Larkin; however, Mr. Rundle had to leave and was not present to speak.

Chair Berkbigler thanked all the candidates and County staff for their work organizing the interviews. She said she heard many comments regarding the wonderful job Robert Larkin had done and she appreciated that he was a good representative for the County.

On motion by Commissioner Lucey, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Lisa Gianoli be appointed to the Reno Tahoe Airport Authority Board of Trustees, term effective July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2019.

AGENDA ITEM 24 Acknowledge receipt of Sheriff's Office Forensics Lab/City of Reno Dispatch Agreement Review from the Internal Audit Division; with possible direction to staff for implementing the Audit Report recommendations.

Alison Gordon, Internal Auditor, said she was asked to review the Sheriff's Office Forensics Lab/City of Reno Dispatch Agreement which was established in 1990. She said the Agreement allowed for the exchange of services between the two agencies at no cost to either of them. There were several attempts to revise the 1990 Agreement over the years; however, the City and the County had been unable to reach an agreement on proposed revisions.

Ms. Gordon estimated the value of exchanging forensics services for dispatch services by identifying actual costs and the percentage of work attributable to the City of Reno through data collected using the County's accounting program, SAP. She said dispatch data was obtained from several sources and in several instances she found it to be inconsistent regarding the number of calls. The most consistent data was obtained from the Tiburon System which was used by both agencies and covered the timeframe from 2007 forward. Her analysis showed that the value of forensics services provided to the City exceeded the value of dispatch services provided to the County.

Ms. Gordon explained the County had several options to consider. The options included working with the City to revise the existing agreement, negotiating a new agreement, or terminating the existing agreement, which would require 90 days written notice to the City. She said another option would involve regionalizing dispatch

services and addressing the forensic services separately. She said in fiscal year 2001 a working group comprised of first responders within the region was asked to determine the feasibility of consolidating dispatch centers and to develop a plan for regionalization. The first step was taken in July, 2012 when services were collocated and in 2014 County and City staff worked on a draft Interlocal Agreement and a plan of action; however, to date efforts to regionalize dispatch had not been finalized.

Ms. Gordon said several studies had been performed over the years which recommended the regionalization of dispatch services. Those studies included the 2012 tri-data report, a 2007 report by the Matrix Consulting Group to the Regional 9-1-1 Advisory Committee, and the 2014 Blue Ribbon Committee report on regional fire services. Further research revealed other examples of regionalized dispatch services in Holbrook, Massachusetts; Danbury, Connecticut; and, Charleston County, North Carolina. She said the Charleston County 9-1-1 center was completed in fiscal year 2012 and it provided dispatch services for 20 local jurisdictions. Charleston County found some of the benefits of consolidation included improved response times, the elimination of duplicate services within the region, increased communication and cooperation among emergency response agencies, and improved coordinated responses to emergency scenes.

Commissioner Jung asked if staff had any recommendations to resolve the issue. Ms. Gordon re-stated the options she presented earlier in the presentation. Commissioner Jung thought the issue should be discussed during a concurrent meeting with the City of Reno and Chair Berkbigler agreed.

Commissioner Hartung noted the data only went back to 2007 even though the Interlocal Agreement was ratified in 1990. He wondered if that was because the services were somewhat even until 2007 or if there was a lack of data prior to that year. Ms. Gordon replied verifiable dispatch data was not available for the years prior to 2007. Commissioner Hartung stated the difference between the cost of forensic services and dispatch services for the period of 2007 to 2014 amounted to about \$6 million, which was substantive. He noted the annual cost for communication staff was about \$1.5 million and he wondered if that was how much it would cost for the County to take it over. Ms. Gordon replied the \$1.5 million would be in addition to current costs, because the County already had dispatch staff.

John Spencer, Undersheriff, explained Reno was providing the County with call-taking services and \$1.5 million represented how much it would cost the County to have standalone dispatch services. He said Ms. Gordon provided a year-by-year cost for the services that Reno was providing to the County, but there were other costs that were not necessarily reflected in those numbers. He said the City of Reno used to provide dispatch services for the majority of the County's Sheriff's Office, with the exception of the Incline Village area, but things had changed significantly over the years. He said services were somewhat bifurcated before they collocated in 2011. He explained when someone called 9-1-1 from a land-line anywhere in the County, with the exception of Incline Village, it was answered by a City call-taker first and then transferred to County

PAGE 18 JUNE 9, 2015

dispatch staff. He said the Sheriff's Office highly recommended the regional concept for dispatch services and for the crime lab as well.

Commissioner Hartung concurred with Undersheriff Spencer, but noted the average deficit to the County was about \$560,000 for services that were not being compensated for. He thought a conversation should be had sooner rather than later because it included all emergency services. Undersheriff Spencer replied he had an opportunity to speak before the Reno Mayor and the Reno City Council during a discussion about the consolidation of fire services and he made it clear the Sheriff's Office was the dispatch provider for Sierra Fire Protection District (SFPD), Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD), North Lake Tahoe Fire Protection District, and the Gerlach Fire Volunteers. He said that meant the Sheriff's Office had a large stake in the dispatch component of any future changes to fire services. Commissioner Hartung stated his appreciation and said the Sheriff's Office was doing a great job. He said he was not looking for a way to make money for the County, but wanted the exchange of services to be net zero.

Chair Berkbigler echoed Commissioner Hartung's concerns. She asked if there was a pay difference between County and City dispatch staff. Undersheriff Spencer stated personnel from the Reno Police Department, the Sparks Police Department, and the Sheriff's Office worked side by side in the Regional Safety Training Center; however, they all had different contracts and different pay scales. He stated the intent was to bring all of the personnel together under one contract and one bargaining group; and to make pay commensurate with the level of training. He said it was his belief that the call center should be the hub for all emergency dispatch. He stated he did not have an interest in merging with the Reno Police Department, but was primarily interested in using regional assets to provide the best level of service to the community as quickly as possible. Chair Berkbigler thought most people realized certain services should be regionalized.

1:35 p.m.* Commissioner Jung's telephone connection was lost.

Chair Berkbigler stated if the Board chose to terminate the existing contract with a 90-day notice that would put the Sheriff's Office in the position of moving forward with negotiations for a dispatch unit. Undersheriff Spencer said if the County terminated the agreement, then it would need to get staff trained and ready to handle 9-1-1 calls within 90 days to avoid being deficient in its responsibilities. He said a contract termination would also affect the City of Reno as the County would be presenting them with a bill for forensic services that they might not be prepared to respond to. He stated the goal was to continue to provide the same level of service that was currently being provided and he would not want to see that diminished due to the termination of the agreement. He said he believed a regional dispatch concept would be very beneficial for the community moving forward.

Commissioner Hartung thought it was the County's fiduciary responsibility to try to resolve the issue with the City of Reno by engaging in conversations with them. Commissioner Herman agreed.

Chair Berkbigler asked Undersheriff Spencer what direction he preferred to receive from the Board. Undersheriff Spencer recommended the Board support ongoing conversations with the City of Reno to discuss pay disparity and training issues; and to try to garner some understanding about what it would take to bring dispatch services together as one unit and work towards regionalization. He said the sooner they could correct the issues, the sooner they could move forward. He said he was unprepared to advise the Board as to how long it would take to recruit, perform background checks, and train personnel to be situated to stand alone from the City, but if the Board chose to dissolve the existing contract, he could come back with more information. He stated if the Board chose to work towards regionalization, he thought an appropriate level of staff could be provided without having to hire additional personnel.

Commissioner Hartung said sometimes it was better not to trade services, but to just trade checks. Commissioner Lucey agreed and said he thought it would be in the best interest of the community to continue to untangle the mess and move toward a regional dispatch service. He suggested a target of six months to get to that goal and said if it could not be done in six months; there were some bigger issues to resolve. He also suggested that County and City Managers participate in negotiations.

On the call for public comment, Cathy Brandhorst spoke about matters of concern to herself.

On motion by Commissioner Lucey, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered to acknowledge the receipt of the Sheriff's Office Forensics Lab/City of Reno Dispatch Agreement Review, to continue with the current contract, to move towards negotiations with the City of Reno and other entities regarding regional dispatch services, and to untangle the current contract within the next six months.

- **AGENDA ITEM 39** Possible Closed Session for the purpose of discussing labor negotiations with Washoe County, Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District and/or Sierra Fire Protection District per NRS 288.220.
- 1:51 p.m. On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that the meeting recess to a closed session for the purpose of discussing negotiations with Employee Organizations per NRS 288.220.
- <u>**3:31 p.m.**</u> The meeting reconvened with Commissioner Jung absent.

PAGE 20 JUNE 9, 2015

15-0469 <u>AGENDA ITEM 25</u> Recommendation to appoint Two of Seven Individuals—Wendy Alderman, Karen Hudson, Debbie Jacobs, Robert Kirk, Zanny Marsh, Theodore May III, or Jennifer Swiergiel—to Fill Two Vacant Seats on the Washoe County Library Board of Trustees, with Both Terms Effective July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2019.

Arnie Maurins, Library Director, stated there were two vacancies on the Library Board of Trustees and the Library Board had voted to recommend three applicants. He said the Board currently had representatives from Districts 1, 2, and 4, but there were no applicants from District 3 or 5.

On motion by Commissioner Lucey, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, Wendy Alderman was appointed to the Library Board of Trustees, with a term effective July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2019. Commissioner

On motion by Commissioner Herman, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, Zanny Marsh was appointed to the Library Board of Trustees, with a term effective July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2019.

There was no public comment on this item.

15-0470 <u>AGENDA ITEM 27</u> Discussion and possible direction to the County Clerk to cause notice to be published and posted of an application by Southwest Gas Corporation for a Franchise Agreement to provide natural gas service to portions of Washoe County and to set the date for a hearing on the application including any objections thereto for July 14, 2015.

Dave Solaro, Community Services Director, said the current Franchise Agreement with Southwest Gas Corporation would expire on May 22nd and work had been done with the District Attorney's Office to renew it. He explained the Franchise Agreement was for the provision of gas to Incline Village and Washoe Valley areas and this agenda item would cause the public to be notified about the public hearing planned for July 14th. He added there were terms within the State law that provided for a 2 percent fee to benefit the School District.

Commissioner Hartung asked if the rates were established by the Public Utilities Commission (PUC). Mr. Solaro confirmed they were and stated this agenda item did not have anything to do with rates. He said he understood it was a non-exclusive agreement, so if someone else wanted to provide service to Washoe Valley they could go through the same process.

Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, said he would have to check on that, but it was his understanding that it was an exclusive agreement for the defined area. He noted that pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 709.070 the company was required to

provide a deposit to the Clerk to cover the cost of the publication which would notice the public about the hearing. He stated the Clerk's Office was prepared to arrange for the deposit.

Chair Berkbigler confirmed with Nancy Parent, County Clerk, that there would be sufficient time to publish the notice for a July 14th public hearing.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered to direct the County Clerk to cause notice to be published and posted of an application by Southwest Gas Corporation for a franchise agreement to provide natural gas service to portions of Washoe County and to set the date for a hearing on the application including any objections thereto for July 14, 2015.

AGENDA ITEM 28 Introduction and first reading of an Ordinance amending Washoe County Code Chapter 55 by creating provisions regulating commercial breeders (through a commercial breeding permit) and adding related definitions; and also amending Washoe County Code Chapter 25 by adding the definitions of "breeder" and "commercial breeder", making changes to the definitions of "breeding" and "litter", and specifying that commercial breeders must first obtain a commercial breeding permit from regional animal services before receiving the required business license, and all other matters properly relating thereto; and, if supported, set the public hearing for second reading and possible adoption of the ordinance on June 23, 2015 at 3:00 p.m.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, read the title for Bill No. 1742.

On the call for public comment, Tim Stoffel said the commercial breeders permit was part of a package of Ordinances that Animal Services was trying to get passed. He stated concerns that the bill would make it more difficult to do business and wondered why the County did not just follow the Nevada Revised Statutes. He thought new regulations could result in a reduced standard of care for animals.

Jimmie Martin, Sierra Safari Zoo, stated the Sierra Zoo was a non-profit organization that had been in operation for 26 years. He said it was enormously stressful to care for the exotic animals that did not fit in anywhere else. He thought it was absurd to require animals to be kept on anything but dirt or pasture because most of them did not benefit from being on concrete. He felt political agendas were responsible for the proposed Ordinance changes.

Chris Vaught stated she was a resident of Washoe Valley and that she held a current permit to keep three or more dogs. She said she was a professional dog trainer and had many years of experience in animal husbandry. She stated she and some other

PAGE 22 JUNE 9, 2015

breeders helped the County define "commercial breeder" about five years ago and she thought they came up with some language that was fair for people that did things with their dogs that were more formal in nature. She was unhappy the proposed Ordinance changes would identify anyone who had a third litter of dogs as a commercial breeder. She said she supported purpose-bred dogs and she thought there was a movement towards vilifying commercial breeders. She said the proposed changes were irresponsible, negative, and would create more puppy mills.

Chair Berkbigler asked Bobby Smith, Animal Services Director, why the number of litters was reduced from five to three. Mr. Smith stated there had been a lot of discussion about the number of litters that would define a commercial breeder and the final compromise was to set the number at three.

Commissioner Herman thought the restrictive Ordinance changes would hurt an industry. She suggested conducting workshops to discuss the matter further.

Commissioner Hartung said one of the public speakers mentioned putting down pavement and he asked if he understood correctly that this agenda item was only dealing with kennels. Mr. Smith replied the Ordinance was specifically about dogs and cats. Commissioner Hartung wondered if it would be an issue to raise the number of litters for a commercial breeder from three to five. Mr. Smith said that number could be changed to five if it was the Board's desire.

Commissioner Lucey said his suggestion would be to note the Ordinance changes would only affect domestic dogs and cats and not exotic animals. He disclosed he was the owner of a veterinary hospital and stated it was his opinion to maintain the number of litters for a commercial breeder at five.

Chair Berkbigler asked Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, if the Board could proceed with the introduction and first reading of the bill with the recommended change. Mr. Lipparelli responded that State law required the Board to give notice on its agenda, so non-substantive changes could be made without a legal problem. He said the Ordinance title did not indicate that the number of litters was fundamental, so he thought if the bill was introduced with the number of litters specified as five, and if the notice of adoption hearing specified the number of litters was five, that would provide sufficient notice to the public. Chair Berkbigler stated the change to five litters would be her preference.

Commissioner Hartung stated he found the Nevada Revised Statute to be confusing. Mr. Smith said further Code changes would be coming to the Board later with clarifying definitions.

Bill No. 1742 was introduced by Commissioner Lucey, and legal notice for final action of adoption was directed. Commissioner Lucey noted the Board wished the number of litters for a commercial breeder to remain at five.

AGENDA ITEM 34 Discussion and possible action to appoint Social Services Director after public interview. Candidates to be interviewed are: Amber Howell, Robin Landry and Michael McMahon.

Joey Orduna Hastings, Assistant County Manager, stated there were three candidates waiting in the conference room and the suggested interview questions had been provided to the Board. She asked if the Board wanted to interview the candidates in alphabetical order to be consistent with the previous interview. Chair Berkbigler stated the Board would conduct the interviews in the same manner as the previous interview, that each candidate would be given five minutes to speak, and that each Commissioner would ask a question. She said the voting process would entail each Commissioner voting for one candidate. Ms. Orduna Hastings acknowledged Kathy Hart, Human Resources Training and Development Manager, for her efforts to keep the Manager's Office on task so they could get this agenda item to the Board in a timely manner.

The following represents a list of the questions selected and edited to be given to each candidate:

- 1. Please share with us some creative and innovative management practices or programs you have introduced to your agency or department? What were the results of these activities?
- 2. What has been your approach to working successfully with state and federal agencies as well as private, non-profit organizations?
- 3. How do you encourage, motivate, and develop staff?
- 4. By the end of the first 90 days, six months, and first year, what would you expect to have accomplished as the new Social Services Director?

The following candidates came forward in the following order to give an opening statement and to respond to the questions selected by the Board:

- 1. Amber Howell
- 2. Robin Landry
- 3. Michael McMahon

On the call for public comment, Michael Capello said he previously served as the Social Services Director and since his retirement served in the field of child welfare. He stated his support of Amber Howell for the position of Social Services Director. He said he had the opportunity to work with her as a consultant and a trainer across the State and one of his tasks had been to review child fatalities. He reported that the State's Legislative Counsel Bureau found there was no evidence that fatalities or near-fatalities were caused by agency non-compliance with State or Federal laws. He

PAGE 24 JUNE 9, 2015

further stated there was no evidence there were any measures, procedures, or protocols that could have assisted in the prevention of any fatalities or near-fatalities. He said there had been a diligent effort to improve upon practices and it was his opinion that Ms. Howell would be best suited to carry that work forward.

Donna Clontz stated she was the Chair of the Senior Citizen Advisory Board for the City of Reno and also served on the County's Senior Advisory Committee. She said, as an advocate for seniors, she was really glad to hear the Board ask questions about senior issues and she knew the Board had a hard decision to make because all of the candidates were extremely experienced. She said the County needed a robust and vibrant senior program and she hoped that whichever candidate the Board chose would have a strong understanding of the senior population. She said the County's services were not serving nearly enough seniors currently, so it would be really important to have creative and strong leadership in the position. She wished the Board good luck in making the choice.

Commissioner Hartung said he wanted to talk a little bit about each of the candidates. He stated Ms. Howell had a very impressive resume, was highly qualified, and had said some things that moved him regarding having a data driven approach, maximizing resources, and that "you can't be all things to all people". He stated Ms. Landry was also very impressive, warm and engaging. He noted she was very structured, wanted to see a higher level of care, and recommended a bottom-up rather than a top-down approach, which he concurred with. He said Dr. McMahon was very impressive, worked at Washoe Medical Center, was the Administrator of the Division of Welfare and Support Services and understood that strengths could be built on weaknesses, which he thought was a wonderful position to take. He said the choice was difficult, but he recommended Amber Howell for the position.

Commissioner Herman thought all the candidates were bright shining stars and she agreed with Commissioner Hartung's statements about each of them. She recommended Robin Landry for the position.

Commissioner Lucey stated he always felt it was important to hire the person, not the position. He said the person they appointed to this position would need to be dynamic, approachable, and committed to new and innovative processes. He said all of the candidates were extremely qualified; however, he would recommend Amber Howell.

Chair Berkbigler said the group was very impressive and she recommended Amber Howell.

Ms. Orduna Hastings stated the majority vote was for Amber Howell.

On motion by Commissioner Lucey, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered to appoint Amber Howell to the position of Social Services Director effective immediately.

Ms. Howell thanked the Board for their support and said she was honored to be chosen. She looked forward to working with the Board to improve the lives of the citizens of Washoe County.

AGENDA ITEM 29 Introduction and first reading of an Ordinance amending Washoe County Code Chapter 55 by creating provisions regulating commercial animal establishments (through an animal welfare permit), by adding related definitions, and by making changes to the definitions of "Animal" and "County," and all other matters properly relating thereto; and, if supported, set the public hearing for second reading and possible adoption of the ordinance on June 23, 2015 at 3:00 p.m.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, read the title for Bill No. 1743.

Bill No. 1743was introduced by Commissioner Lucey, and legal notice for final action of adoption was directed. The Clerk was later ordered to kill this bill number, please see the discussion and final action below.

On the call for public comment, John Potash, Get Rattled, stated his concerns about the Code changes, which he said were convoluted, confusing and full of gaps. He said the changes would create a problem for his business and he knew he would not be the only one affected. He talked about his experiences providing rattlesnake training for dogs and the level of experience and education he had. He stated the Code changes would prohibit him from obtaining a permit because of the uniqueness of his business and he was concerned about the credentials and experience of those who would be overseeing what he had been professionally trained to do. He asked the Board to wipe the slate clean and to work with him to create a sound and rational Ordinance.

Tim Stoffel, Sierra Safari Zoo, stated the Code changes were a train wreck. He said the changes would require the paving of animal enclosures; the cost of which would be astronomical. He claimed the changes were suggested by animal rights activists who had a lot of influence. He stated the Sierra Safari Zoo was already required to be licensed with the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and he did not see a reason for another level of regulations. He said the changes would result in a system without checks and balances because Animal Services would have the ability to create their own rules, procedures, applications, and requirements. He thought the bill needed to be reviewed by all affected parties, not just Animal Services.

Joe Reinhardt, Owner of Silver State Kennel, hoped the animal welfare permit changes were being considered for the right reasons. He talked about what he did to minimize the spread of contagious diseases and said his definition of animal welfare included the humane treatment of animals and ensuring that health needs were attended to. He stated his facility operated under a Special Use Permit (SUP) and he thought the Code changes would penalize his business by requiring even more permits. He wondered if the same scrutiny would apply to backyard and hobby breeders and if Animal Services

PAGE 26 JUNE 9, 2015

staff would have the ability to ensure Code enforcement. He said if the intent was to promote animal welfare he was all for it, but if it was to promote the intentions and agendas of animal activists, he was not sure he would agree to it.

Michael Schneider, Owner of Puppies Plus, said he was very concerned about the requirement to place his puppies in isolation for 120 hours. He stated all his puppies were checked by a licensed Veterinarian before they traveled to his store and again before they were sold. He thought the fact that the puppies received two exams within three days was sufficient and that the 120-hour isolation would be detrimental to sales as well as to the health of the dogs. His intent was to sell the puppies as quickly as possible and the restriction would greatly affect that ability.

Daniel Gray, Sierra Aquatics, said he was a business owner and an animal lover. His biggest concern was the lack of notification to business owners. He said the changes specified there would be an application process, but did not identify the related requirements or fees so there was a lot of missing information. He stated concerns about the requirement for floors and walls to be constructed of non-porous materials, the 120-hour isolation, and the regulations which would restrict where people could buy their animals. He thought that all of the time business owners spent trying to keep up with the new laws and regulations could be better spent caring for their animals.

Chris Vaught said she was concerned that the proposed changes did not exclude horse training or boarding facilities. She said Bobby Smith, Animal Services Director, directed her to read the definition of "operator" in the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), but she maintained the NRS definition also did not exclude such facilities. She said veterinarian boarding facilities were not included in the proposed changes, which she found concerning because she had a very bad experience boarding with a Veterinarian. She thought if the Ordinance changes were really about animal welfare everyone should be covered by it.

Jimmie Martin, Sierra Safari Zoo, said his zoo was licensed with the USDA and the Nevada Department of Wildlife and was inspected annually. He thought adding further County regulations seemed redundant and the purported intent to promote safety was dubious. He wondered if other facilities such as the Charles River Laboratory or the horses on the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) land would be affected by the Code changes as well. He said if those facilities were exempt, he wanted to apply for an exemption too. He asked if the changes included any grandfather clauses for facilities that had been operating safely for a lot of years. He urged the Board to either reconsider the proposed changes or to abandon them all together.

Barry O'Dea, On Command, said he had been training dogs for 31 years and he was concerned about who would be enforcing the new regulations because they might not have an appropriate level of experience. He thought boarding a dog at a veterinary facility was like taking a child to a hospital for daycare because there were sick dogs on the property. For that reason he thought veterinarians should have to comply with the same regulations as everyone else.

Commissioner Herman said she had asked for a workshop to discuss the issues that were brought up in public comment. She said when she asked Bobby Smith, Animal Services Director, about who would be regulating the Ordinance changes and he reassured her that he would not need to hire any more staff. She thought the changes would be putting more people out of business and said the County needed to consider the economic freedom of its citizens. She reiterated her request for a workshop.

Commissioner Lucey asked Mr. Smith if the Code changes were intended to bring the Code up to NRS standards. Mr. Smith replied the changes were meant to bring some administrative sections of the NRS into the County Code to ensure animal welfare. Commissioner Lucey said he wanted to make the point that the changes were not coming "out of the blue" and the purpose was to align the Code with State requirements. Mr. Smith stated the County had been working on the changes with the City of Reno and he thought the end result was manageable and would ensure animal welfare.

Commissioner Lucey stated he was the owner of a veterinary facility and it was governed by the NRS as well as by the Veterinary Board of Medical Examiners. He said the conditions placed on veterinary facilities were just as stringent as the proposed changes to the Code. Mr. Smith responded that was correct and said if there was a problem with a Veterinarian it was reported to the State Board. Commissioner Lucey stated he wanted to ensure that was clarified because some concerns were raised about why Veterinarians were being excluded.

Commissioner Hartung said regulations were targeted for irresponsible people and sometimes responsible business owners were overlooked. He continued to be concerned about some of the proposed language and thought the definition of "animal" needed to be clear. He was also concerned about the requirement for walls and floors of enclosures to be constructed of non-absorbent, non-porous materials. He knew there were some irresponsible people, but he felt compelled to protect those who were responsible. He said the Board already had the first reading of the bill so he wondered if the Board was required to have a second reading without a revision by the date certain.

Paul Lippparelli, Legal Counsel, said the purpose of the introduction and first reading of the bill was to provide notice to the public of the Ordinances that would be considered for adoption in the future. He said once a bill was introduced it was on course to be scheduled for a public hearing and possible adoption. He explained there could not be significant changes between the form of the bill as it was introduced and the version that the Board considered for adoption because that would defeat the purpose of the notice. He said if a majority of the Commissioners wanted to direct changes to be made to the bill, it would be better if it was done at this point because the Board could only adopt or not adopt a bill at a second reading. The bill had already been introduced and given a bill number, but if the Board wanted to go in a different direction, then the bill could be rescinded and further direction could be given.

Mr. Smith said the reason they specified what "animal" meant in the proposed changes was just for clarification purposes. He said the definitions that were

PAGE 28 JUNE 9, 2015

being added did not apply to just this one Ordinance, but was applicable to all of Chapter 55.

Commissioner Hartung appealed to the other Board members to take a step back and review the proposed changes because he wanted to make sure that any adopted changes did not have unintended consequences. He acknowledged there were some responsible people who were upset about the changes and he thought the Board owed it to reevaluate it.

Chair Berkbigler agreed with Commissioner Hartung. She asked if the changes would result in additional license requirements for commercial breeders who were already licensed at the County level. Mr. Smith replied there would be another welfare permit with a yearly fee of \$100 to cover inspections and paperwork costs. Chair Berkbigler stated Animal Services would be doing the yearly inspections and asked if that would be done in conjunction with USDA inspections. Mr. Smith said the paperwork for the Special Use Permit was exactly the same as the one that was used by the USDA.

Chair Berkbigler asked about the requirement to keep dogs and cats in isolation for a minimum of 120 hours, which equaled five days. Mr. Smith explained the reason for that was because, even though a puppy would get a physical before being transported and then another one within two or three days upon arrival, it was discovered that around day five of that process the animals would break out with some kind of illness due to stress. He stated they were just trying to ensure the animals could get proper treatment before they were sold. Chair Berkbigler asked if this requirement would also apply to groups like the Humane Society or the Beagle Rescue Group. Mr. Smith stated it would not apply to adoption facilities because they typically already had checks and balances in place.

Chair Berkbigler asked what the current policy was for circuses that came to town. She wanted to know who licensed them. Mr. Smith replied they only obtained temporary special permits, typically from the City of Reno, and there were no animal welfare checks.

Chair Berkbigler noted the changes also included a new definition for commercial animal establishments. She said her concern was that the proposed changes seemed to jump from one issue to another and she was a strong advocate of bills and Ordinances being specific to avoid confusion. She said it appeared the bill was stepping over some lines and she would like to see the proposed changes pulled and taken back to the drawing board.

Commissioner Hartung talked about the work being done at the Animal Ark, which was licensed through the Nevada Department of Wildlife (NDOW) to take in bears or other injured animals. He said he did not want to put undue stress on those types of facilities.

Commissioner Lucey agreed with the other Commissioners and said there were a number of issues that were presented in the same Ordinance. He said he understood the intent was to regulate the offenders and not the everyday quality providers, but he thought it was a good idea to take a step back and break it out into several more specific Ordinances.

Mr. Lipparelli stated that in light of the fact that Commissioner Lucey introduced the bill and was now willing to rescind it, he suggested the Board order the Clerk to kill the bill number and to direct staff to bring the issue back to the Board with any changes they would like to see.

Chair Berkbigler directed the Clerk to kill Bill No. 1743. She asked Mr. Smith if it was clear what the Board wanted him to bring back. Mr. Smith said he understood the Board would like to see the changes broken out to three or four Code sections. Chair Berkbigler asked those who expressed their concerns during public comment to get in touch with Mr. Smith to discuss their issues.

AGENDA ITEM 30 Introduction and first reading of an Ordinance amending the Washoe County Code at Chapter 110 (Development Code) Article 106, Master Plan Categories and Regulatory Zones at Section 110.106.15, Regulatory Zones, to repeal and replace paragraph (u) with new language re-defining the Specific Plan Regulatory Zone, to amend Table 110.302.05.4 governing industrial land use types to define which industrial use types are permitted in the Specific Plan Regulatory Zone, and to add Article 442, Specific Plan Standards and Procedures, to provide criteria and procedures for rezoning to the Specific Plan Regulatory Zone, tentative approval, final approval, recording, enforcement and amendment of Specific Plans, consistent with NRS 278A Planned Development. Recommendations include other matters properly relating thereto; and if supported, set the public hearing for second reading and possible adoption of the Ordinance for June 23, 2015, at 3:00 p.m. Community Services.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, read the title for Bill No. 1744.

Commissioner Herman asked if this item had something to do with Burning Man. Eric Young, Planner, stated Article 442 would be new to the Development Code and would be applicable anywhere in the County. Commissioner Herman asked how the change would affect an existing Specific Plan Area (SPA). Mr. Young talked about the two-map process, which was developed because the current process was not consistent with Nevada Revised Statute (NRS). Commissioner Herman mentioned the Warm Springs SPA and Mr. Young explained the process in more detail, adding that it would not affect the Warm Springs SPA.

Chair Berkbigler asked if the Ordinance change would streamline an existing process or create a new process. Mr. Young replied it would create a new process under the application for a regulatory zone amendment and would consist of

PAGE 30 JUNE 9, 2015

some additional requirements, namely the requirement for a development standards handbook. Chair Berkbigler inquired if the new process would speed things up. Mr. Young did not know how it would affect the speed, but thought it would open doors for developers.

There was no public comment on this item.

Bill No. 1744 was introduced by Commissioner Lucey, and legal notice for final action of adoption was directed.

AGENDA ITEM 32 Discussion and possible direction to staff regarding legislation or legislative issues from the 78th Nevada Legislative Session or during any special legislative session during 2015, or such legislative issues as may be deemed by the Chair of the Board to be of critical significance to Washoe County. (All Commission Districts)

Kevin Schiller, Assistant County Manager, said there were still a few pending bills for the Governor's signature and final approval, so there would be a final report coming to the Board in July.

Liane Lee, Government Affairs Manager, expressed her appreciation to the Board, the Manager and staff for their work during the legislative session. She said the session lasted 120 days and during that time 500 bills were monitored and 161 fiscal notes were submitted. She said even though the legislative session was over, there were still 171 pending bills in the Governor's Office of which she was monitoring 71. She explained the Governor had three options, which were to sign the bills into law, allow them to become law without signing them, or to veto them. As the process moved forward, she would continue to monitor all legislative activity including the interim session. She said she would provide a final report at a later date that would include more details about the bills of interest, a summary of the bills that funded State government, and the identification of bills that required some sort of action, such as an Ordinance, an appointment, or some sort of regulation.

Commissioner Lucey thanked and commended Ms. Lee. He spoke about Assembly Bill 4 (AB4) regarding wineries and said the County would be asked for some direction in relation to that. He provided a handout regarding wineries, which was placed on file with the Clerk. He stated there was some legislation that would affect the Reno-Sparks Convention and Visitors Authority (RSCVA) specifically with regard to room taxes and the composition of the RSCVA Board. He thought those issues should be addressed in a quick manner and he asked staff to look into those time sensitive matters because they would be going into effect on July 1st.

Commissioner Hartung said he was looking forward to seeing the Governor sign Assembly Bill 94 (AB94) regarding paperless sample ballots. He wondered if there was a way to request the signing to take place on Friday. Ms. Lee stated a request was submitted and she would follow up on that. She thanked

Assemblyman Ira Hansen; Luanne Cutler, Registrar of Voters; and, Deanna Spikula, Office Support Specialist, for their hard work on the bill. She said she would be following up on Senate Bill 411 (SB411) and Senate Bill 80 (SB80) regarding the RSCVA.

There was no action or public comment on this item.

AGENDA ITEM 33 Discussion and possible direction to the County Manager to utilize two or more hours of staff time to review extending sewer service from Damonte to Pleasant Valley. Requested by Commissioner Lucey.

Commissioner Hartung asked if this item was in regards to converting homes that were on septic tanks to sewer service. Dave Solaro, Community Services Director, stated there was a development planned in Pleasant Valley that would be part of the sewer system and there was potential for some other homes out there to get off septic tanks and connect to the sewer system. He said they were going through the process with developers to create agreements and make sure the developers were aware of required specifications, which was part of the ongoing planning process. He said they were still in the early stages of negotiations. Commissioner Hartung stated it was a good idea to get people off septic tanks and onto the sewer system to prevent ground water pollution.

Commissioner Lucey stated a developer in the Pleasant Valley area was offering to pay for some extensions for sewer service. He explained his suggestion was to have staff review the language in the expired development agreement to ensure the language was appropriate and could be utilized for the new development if it went forward.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Lucey, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered to approve the utilization of two or more hours of staff time to review extending sewer service from Damonte to Pleasant Valley.

AGENDA ITEM 31 Discussion of pending draft amendments to Washoe County Development Code, Chapter 110 Division 5, and related provisions in Article 505, dealing with billboards and with certain potentially larger and/or illuminated signs that would be known under the proposed amendments as Regional Recreation Travel and Tourism [RRTT] signs, and possible direction to staff on whether to change the definition provisions for billboards and whether to take additional steps regarding RRTT signs, including whether staff should recommend removal of or changes to the provisions for that category of sign in the proposed amendments. Community Services.

PAGE 32 JUNE 9, 2015

Nancy Parent, Clerk, noted she received a copy of "Sign Regulations" from the Manager's Office and a copy of a document from Scenic Nevada, both of which were placed on file.

Trevor Lloyd, Senior Planner, conducted a PowerPoint presentation, which was placed on file with the Clerk. He said this agenda item was for the continuation of a discussion that took place during the April 28th meeting. He stated he was seeking Board direction regarding Regional, Recreational, Travel, and Tourism (RRTT) signs. The Board previously asked staff to return with clearer definitions for billboards and for the large entertainment uses regarding the RRTT use type. He said he and several co-workers worked together to come up with a definition for billboards and identified that billboards would be any sign larger than 450 square feet, which he did not think would allow for any ambiguity. He knew the Board received some correspondence asking them to retain the current definition and the distinction between on-premise and off-premise signs, but he thought there were two valid reasons not to. He said the Board had asked them to move forward to create a content neutral sign Code and the on-premise and off-premise provisions did not allow for neutrality. He explained the second reason for the proposal was to provide for clarity because there was currently more than one definition for "billboard" in the Code. He stated the proposed changes would clear up the confusion and ambiguity regardless of whether the Board allowed the RRTT sign designation or not.

Mr. Lloyd stated the Board also asked for a better definition of the RRTT use type and how it related to large entertainment venues and uses. He said staff came up with a definition that distinguished the uses as those that involved 1,000 or more people per event. He said that number was borrowed from the current Code definition of a festival. The difference was that festivals were temporary and the definition relating to RRTT signs would be permanent.

Mr. Lloyd talked about a map in the presentation, which showed three potential locations for RRTT signs in the unincorporated County. He also showed another map which indicated all the locations that could have signs larger than 300 square feet under the current Code. He noted there was potential for some of those signs to be digital with a special use permit (SUP) and said static signs could be put in those locations with only a building permit. He stated the intent was to tighten the standards while still being flexible for the sign industry. He stated the changes that were being proposed were more stringent than the current Code and staff was not advocating for or against any options the Board might choose; they were simply seeking direction.

On the call for public comment, Lori Wray, Scenic Nevada, stated the definitions for on and off-premise signs had been around for 50 years and had confused no one. She said Scenic Nevada was against the latest revision of the draft sign Code and many residents were too. She said she was hoping staff would move in a different direction to provide a sign Code that would continue to prohibit billboards and disallow special legislation to allow for digital billboards. She asked the Board to reject the revisions and to eliminate the RRTT category. She said Federal, State, and current

County laws defined billboards as those that displayed ads for goods and services offpremises, which she thought was a very good definition and one that was used all over the Country. She thought defining billboards by size did not cure the fatal flaw in the draft Code and would lead to more signs and sign clutter. She thought the Code changes had been drafted specifically for Norm Dianda, Owner of Wild West Motorsports Park, so he could install a digital sign along the freeway to earn income. She asked the Board to reject the changes and direct staff to make it clear that billboards should be prohibited.

William Naylor concurred with Lori Wray about the definition of billboards. He said billboards were not determined by size, but by the fact that that they advertised for businesses that were not on the premises and were owned by people who charged others to advertise on them. He said businesses were already served by the two categories of signs that existed and that the RRTT sign category was proposed to allow a billboard by calling it something else. He said billboards should not be allowed and that signs should only advertise businesses that were near them.

Marilyn Naylor, Washoe Valley Alliance, stated the County could boast that it had two designated State scenic byways, one on Mount Rose and one in Washoe Valley. She thought a section should be added to the sign Code titled "Scenic Corridors and Byways" to explain compliance with the Federal, State, and local laws governing scenic byways. She said the signs should not be allowed within any designated scenic corridor or scenic byway as identified by Statute, Code or Master Plans. She stated a goal of the Truckee Canyon Area Plan was to preserve and enhance the visual qualities of the Truckee Canyon Planning Area as viewed from Interstate 80 (I-80) and to encourage the creation of scenic corridor guidelines to reduce the impacts of off-premise signs on the I-80 corridor. She said allowing the RRTT signs would defeat the goals of the Master Plan and the Truckee Canyon Area Plan. She urged the Board to ensure the sign Code offered clarity, conformed with the law at all levels of government, and respected the huge investment of time that County Planning had put into the development of the area plans.

Mark Wray, Attorney for Scenic Nevada, stated the definition in the current Code was exactly the same as State Law. He said a billboard was a device designed to advertise or inform readers about services or goods on property other than the location of the sign. He said the reason that was important was because according to the law non-conforming signs could be removed; however, the County would have to pay for the cost of the sign as well as the revenue it would receive if it removed a billboard for any reason. He thought removing the definition of a billboard, except for the size limitation, was fiscally irresponsible.

Karen Munson, YESCO, said she reviewed the changes suggested by staff, including the definition of a billboard, and based on the map that was displayed in the presentation, it appeared there would not be very many signs allowed. She disagreed with Mr. Wray's assertion that the changes to the sign Code would be putting the County in financial jeopardy. She stated it was hard for business owners to come to the Commission meetings because they had businesses to run. She noted that this particular meeting started at 10 a.m. and the sign Code agenda item was not heard until close to

PAGE 34 JUNE 9, 2015

7:00 p.m. She said she did not see Mr. Dianda at the meeting to fight for the sign on his property and that it seemed everyone that came to speak was fighting against the hard work staff had done. She stated the new sign Code would be more stringent and there were ways to regulate the timing on digital signs and how bright they could be. She asked the Board to move forward with the recommendations that were brought forward by staff.

John Hara, Scenic Nevada, said Scenic Nevada believed in building a vibrant community through the preservation of scenic heritage. He stated communities that promoted their natural beauty were more highly regarded as tourist destinations than those that did not. He talked about billboard effectiveness and cited a statistic from a report about the advertising channels with the largest purchase influence on consumers, which stated that billboards ranked at 4.6 percent effectiveness in the minds of consumers. He said the billboard industry had a different definition of billboard sizes than the County did.

Randy Collins, stated he attended some workshops and knew that Regional Parks and Open Space was looking for grants to help improve and enhance the scenic corridors. He said he was a professional trail builder and he noticed how bright the digital billboard for the Grand Sierra Resort was at 1:00 p.m. in the afternoon. He could imagine how detrimental a bright sign would be to any area's night sky. He strongly opposed the draft regulations for the sign Code and recommended stronger restrictions for all billboards.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, noted she received a request to read a public comment from Berry Hall, Scenic Nevada. The statement read: Please preserve what is left of Reno's scenic beauty and unique character and please say "no" to RRTTs. Beautiful cities do not have billboards and electronic signs.

Commissioner Hartung said he was sensitive to Scenic Nevada's position; however, he was vexed because no one complained when both Tesla and Amazon built their huge warehouses that were far from scenic. He thought the Code was quite restrictive and only provided a process for someone who requested a special use permit. He said he respected the comments that were made, but he disagreed with them because there were no plans to put any signs in Washoe Valley or on Mount Rose Highway. He said the special use permit was common practice and would ensure that any request would go through a very stringent set of reviews.

Commissioner Herman thought signs were important for businesses that might locate somewhere else if the laws were too strict. She thought perhaps the sign Code needed to specify certain areas.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Herman, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent and Chair Berkbigler voting "no", staff was directed to proceed with the sign Code amendments with the policy direction as provided during the meeting.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

AGENDA ITEM 35 Recommendation to approve a Resolution authorizing a short term (less than 12 months) interfund loan [not to exceed \$3,000,000] from the General Fund to the Child Protective Services Fund for the purpose of funding department operations pending receipt of Federal reimbursements and direct the Comptroller to make the appropriate adjustments.

The Chair opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against adoption of said Resolution. There being no response, the hearing was closed.

Kevin Schiller, Interim Social Services Director, stated the Social Services Department typically operated a quarter behind in its federal reimbursements, so generally there was a gap between fiscal years. He said the Department always had a hefty fund balance that was used for cross-functional social services, but this item was requested because there were some reimbursements that were still pending. He said he was happy to report that \$2 million in reimbursements had recently been received, so he viewed the interfund loan as an insurance policy for the Child Protection Fund.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 35 be approved and directed. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the record thereof.

AGENDA ITEM 36 Second reading and adoption of an Ordinance amending Washoe County Code Chapter 55 by clarifying the meaning of a dangerous dog; by specifying that an administrative hearing officer may determine whether a dog is dangerous; by forbidding a finding that a dog is dangerous based solely on its breed; by prohibiting the introduction, relocation or removal of a dog declared to be dangerous without notification to regional animal services; by clarifying that an appeal from a dangerous dog determination is made via petition for judicial review; by providing that the owner of a dangerous dog must maintain an increased surety bond or liability insurance; by providing for mandatory microchipping and spay or neuter of a dangerous dog; by making changes to the dangerous dog registration requirements; by clarifying provisions related to the impoundment of a dangerous dog, and all other matters properly relating thereto.

The Chair opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against adoption of said Ordinance.

PAGE 36 JUNE 9, 2015

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, read the title for Ordinance No. 1558, Bill No. 1739.

On the call for public comment, Tim Stoffel, Sierra Safari Zoo, felt some regulations were needed, but he thought the signage and caging requirements were inappropriate and went too far. He disagreed with language that would require mandatory spaying and neutering because he thought it devalued animals. He said the insurance policy was strange because it would require the immediate seizure of a dog if a premium was unpaid even though that did not immediately affect public safety.

Cathy Brandhorst spoke about matters of concern to herself.

The Chair closed the public hearing.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried, Chair Berkbigler ordered that Ordinance No. 1558, Bill No. 1739, be adopted, approved and published in accordance with NRS 244.100.

AGENDA ITEM 37 Public Hearing on Appeal Case No. AX15-001 (Kimberly Kline) – Hearing, discussion, and action on the appeal of the Board of Adjustment's decision to deny Variance Case No. VA15-002, which is requesting a reduction of the required front yard setback from 30 feet to 20 feet to allow for the placement of a +2,318 square foot manufactured home and a single car garage. The Board of County Commissioners may take action to affirm the Board of Adjustment's denial; or the Board may take action to reverse the Board of Adjustment's denial and issue the Variance; or the Board may modify the Variance's Conditions and issue the Variance; or the Board may remand to the Board of Adjustment for reconsideration and further proceedings.

The Chair opened the public hearing.

Bill Whitney, Planning and Development Director, said he was available to answer any questions. He said there were four options for the Board to choose from, to confirm the Board of Adjustment's denial of the variance, to reverse the Board of Adjustment's denial of the variance, or to remand the variance.

Commissioner Lucey felt the decision by the Board of Adjustment was incorrect and moved to reverse the Board of Adjustment's denial of the variance. Commissioner Hartung seconded the motion.

On the call for public comment, Frank Buchard, KC Custom Concepts, said he was helping Kimberly Kline, property owner, to develop the property. He said he did not understand how the Board of Adjustment had come to their decision because he thought they were confusing the issues. He said the request had been for a property setback and had nothing to do with wells, septic tanks, or the condition of the soil. He

JUNE 9, 2015 PAGE 37

said those issues would be reviewed by the Building, Engineering and the Health Departments.

Kimberly Kline thanked the Board for their time.

Jimmy Vanlandingham said he lived next door to the subject property. He stated there was not enough room to put a house on the property and that was why the property owner was asking for a variance. He said the variance would impact his property because Ms. Kline would be putting a well next to his well and both properties would be out of water by the middle of the summer. He said he was all for property rights, but he was against the variance because of the impact it would have on his well.

Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, asked Commissioner Lucey if he would like to amend the motion to include the findings that were listed in the staff report for option two.

Commissioner Lucey stated he would amend his motion to reverse the Board of Adjustment's denial and approve Variance Case Number VA15-002, subject to the conditions stated in Exhibit C of the staff report, based on the applicant's proposal to reduce the required 30 foot front yard setback by 10 feet, resulting in a 20 foot front yard setback. This reversal was based on this Board's review of the written materials and oral testimony at the public hearing, and this Board's interpretation of the findings made by the Board of Adjustment.

Commissioner Lucey said, in his opinion, the Board of Adjustment had made an improper finding because they included discussions about another issue altogether.

Mr. Lipparelli said he understood Commissioner Lucey motion was intended to include the information that was on the record for this meeting including the testimony that was given and the materials in the packet. The findings that were required for the issuance of a variance from the County's Development Code included that there were special circumstances regarding the shape of a property. He said the record indicated there was a significant geographical ravine on the property, which made the buildable portion smaller, and that would be an example of a special circumstance. He said the Board could find justification for the issuance of the variance.

Commissioner Lucey stated he would amend his motion to include that the Board found there was a special circumstance for the variance based on the topography of the land and the parcel. The seconder agreed.

Commissioner Hartung said he hoped Ms. Kline would work with Mr. Vanlandingham because it was of no benefit to either of them to have dry wells. Commissioner Lucey echoed Commissioner Hartung's sentiment. He thought it was important for people to be able to utilize their property and said he did not feel Ms. Kline's request was meant to be malicious.

PAGE 38 JUNE 9, 2015

On call for the question, the motion passed on a vote of 4-0, with Commissioner Jung absent.

AGENDA ITEM 38 Second reading and adoption of an Ordinance amending Ordinance No. 1000 in order to change the boundaries of District No. 24 (Groundwater Remediation); and providing other matters relating thereto.

The Chair opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against adoption of said Ordinance. There being no response, the hearing was closed.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, read the title for Ordinance No. 1559, Bill No. 1740.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Lucey, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, Chair Berkbigler ordered that Ordinance No. 1559, Bill No. 1740, be adopted, approved and published in accordance with NRS 244.100.

AGENDA ITEM 38 Second reading and adoption of an Ordinance imposing a fee on the parcels of land in Washoe County, Nevada District No. 24 to pay the costs of developing and carrying out a plan for remediation; and prescribing other matters relating thereto.

The Chair opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against adoption of said Ordinance. There being no response, the hearing was closed.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, read the title for Ordinance No. 1560, Bill No. 1741.

On motion by Commissioner Lucey, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Jung absent, Chair Berkbigler ordered that Ordinance No. 1560, Bill No. 1741, be adopted, approved and published in accordance with NRS 244.100.

AGENDA ITEM 41 Public Comment. Comment heard under this item will be limited to three minutes per person and may pertain to matters both on and off the Commission agenda. The Commission will also hear public comment during individual action items, with comment limited to three minutes per person. Comments are to be made to the Commission as a whole.

Cathy Brandhorst spoke about matters of concern to herself.

JUNE 9, 2015 PAGE 39

<u>**7:38 p.m.**</u> Commissioner Lucey left the meeting.

<u>7:39 p.m.</u> There being no further business to discuss, on motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Herman, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Lucey and Commissioner Jung absent, the meeting was adjourned.

MARSHA BERKBIGLER, Chair Washoe County Commission

ATTEST:

NANCY PARENT, County Clerk and Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners

Minutes Prepared by: Cathy Smith, Deputy County Clerk

PAGE 40 JUNE 9, 2015

WHEREAS, NRS 244.1505 provides that a Board of County Commissioners may expend money for any purpose which will provide a substantial benefit to the inhabitants of the County and that a board may make a grant of money to a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes or to a governmental entity to be expended for a selected purpose; and

WHEREAS, The Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County upon the recommendation of Commissioner Vaughn Hartung for District 4, has determined that \$13,122 is needed to provide support and expand on the services and programs of the Washoe County Senior Center located in Sparks; and

WHEREAS, Washoe County Senior Services is part of an interconnected service community that is committed to building a higher quality of life for all residents; and

WHEREAS, Washoe County Senior Services helps to enrich the lives of seniors and improve the programs and services they offer that help people of all ages; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, By the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that:

- 1. The Board hereby grants to Washoe County Senior Services, a grant for fiscal year 2014-2015 in the amount of \$13,122 to support services and activities at the Senior Center in Sparks.
- 2. The Board finds that in making this grant a substantial benefit will be provided to the inhabitants for the County by enhancing and supporting the programs and services provided by the Center.
- 3. The maximum allowable expended for this effort within Commission District Special Funding Accounts is \$13,122 and funds shall not be used to purchase of tickets to special events.

ADOPTED this 9th day of June, 2015

Marsha Berkbigler, Chair

Washoe County Commission

WHEREAS, NRS 244.1505 provides that a Board of County Commissioners may expend money for any purpose which will provide a substantial benefit to the inhabitants of the County and that a board may make a grant of money to a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes or to a governmental entity to be expended for a selected purpose; and

WHEREAS, The Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County upon the recommendation of Commissioner Jeanne Herman for District 5, has determined that \$13,122 is needed to support renovations to improve Palomino Valley Fire Station 229, to ensure accessibility in accordance with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance; and

WHEREAS, The ADA enhancements will provide equal opportunity for individuals with disabilities in public accommodations; and

WHEREAS, Any above board costs associated with the renovations that may exceed the grant award will be covered by Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, By the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that:

- 1. The Board hereby grants to Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District, a grant for fiscal year 2014-2015 in the amount of \$13,122.
- 2. The Board finds that in making this grant a substantial benefit will be provided to the inhabitants for the County by enhancing and supporting the facilities in compliance with ADA regulations.
- 3. The maximum allowable expended for this effort within Commission District Special Funding Accounts is \$13,122 and funds shall not be used to purchase of tickets to special events.

ADOPTED this 9th day of June, 2015

Marsha Berkbigler, Chair Washoe County Commission

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT:

This Interlocal Agreement, is made and entered into this 1st day of July 2015, by and between Washoe County, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada, on behalf of its Northern Nevada Child Abuse Response & Evaluations/Sexual Assault Response Team; the Placer County Sheriff's Office on behalf of County of Placer, hereinafter referred to as Placer County.

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the Northern Nevada Child Abuse Response & Evaluations/Sexual Assault Response Team (CARES/SART) has the ability to provide adolescent, adult and child victim sexual assault forensic examinations, as requested by other government entities; and

WHEREAS, the Placer County Sheriff's Office wishes to utilize the services of CARES/SART for examinations of victims of cases occurring within its jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, chapter 277 of the Nevada Revised Statutes authorizes Washoe County, as a public agency, to enter into interlocal and cooperative agreements with other public agencies for the performance of governmental functions; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code Section 26600 et seq. the Placer County Sheriff is authorized to investigate crimes and pursuant to California Government Code Section 29600 et seq. expenses necessarily incurred in the performance of said duties are proper County charges;

NOW THEREFORE, it is mutually agreed as follows:

1. Term:

The term of this Agreement shall commence on July 1, 2015 regardless of the dates set forth below, and shall remain in effect until June 30, 2017. Renewal of the Agreement beyond this term shall be subject to the mutual written approval of Washoe County, by official action of its Board of County Commissioners, and by Placer County, in accordance with the applicable governing law as set forth above.

2. Services to be provided:

Washoe County will:

A. Provide physical space (examination room), facilities, and equipment in its facilities to perform adolescent, adult and child victim sexual assault forensic examinations as requested.

Interlocal Agreement between Placer County, CA and Washoe County, NV

- B. Provide qualified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANE) to perform adolescent, adult and child victim sexual assault forensic examinations as requested by Placer County Sheriff's Office.
- C. Be responsible for maintaining and ensuring the qualifications and clinical competency of SANE for adolescent, adult and child victim sexual assault forensic examinations.
- D. Maintain medical malpractice insurance.

. 4.

- E. Maintain standard internal operating policies and procedures for responding to and handling CARES and SART cases.
- F. Use the California Department of Justice "Victim Sexual Assault Evidence Kit" for forensic evidence collection.
- G. Use the State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (OES) Forensic Medical Report Forms: OES 923 (Acute Adult/Adolescent Sexual Assault Examination), OES 925 (Non-Acute Child/Adolescent Sexual Assault Examination), and OES 930 (Acute Child/Adolescent Sexual Assault Examination) to record medical and forensic interview information and findings. This report form shall be provided to the authorizing officer/deputy or his or her designee upon being completed by the SANE.
- H. Accept the appropriate written, telephone, or electrical authorization for performance of sexual assault forensic examinations from Placer County Sheriff's Office.
- I. Provide all evidence recovered during the examination, including the SART kit and photographs, along with the original OES forms, to the agency authorizing the examination upon the agency's request without requiring a subpoena to obtain these items.
- J. Provide a victim advocate to the child, adolescent and adult victims during the examination upon request of the victim if one is unavailable from Placer County.
- K. Test for HIV, Syphilis, and other Sexually Transmitted Infections (diseases), treat for Gonorrhea, Chlamydia, and Syphilis and offer emergency contraception and prevention information to the victim/family.
- L. The SANE shall cooperate with the Placer County District Attorney in coordinating any subpoena request for witness testimony.

3. Compensation:

Washoe County shall be compensated for the services described hereunder as follows in the amount not to exceed \$15,000 annually:

- A. Adult or Child Sexual Assault Forensic Examination: \$400 per exam. Fee billed to and paid by the authorizing law enforcement agency.
- B. Expert Witness Testimony Fee: The \$300 for the first hour of any testimony and \$100 per hour for subsequent hours. The SAME/SANE will be paid roundtrip mileage at the current IRS rate. The SAME/SANE will bill the Placer County District Attorney's Office for these fees. The Placer County District Attorney's Office will pay the SAME/SANE.

Billings for services shall be sent directly to the law enforcement agency authorizing the forensic examination at the addresses listed below:

Placer County District Attorney's Office 10810 Justice Center Drive, Suite 240 Roseville, CA 95678

Placer County Sheriff's Office 2929 Richardson Auburn, CA 95603

Invoices shall be paid by the authorizing agency within 30 days of receipt. Payment shall be made to:

Washoe County District Attorney's Office CARES/SART Program Post Office Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520

4. Fiscal Contingencies:

The parties to this Agreement recognize and acknowledge that the Placer County District Attorney's Office and the Placer County Sheriff's Office are Offices of County of Placer, a political subdivision of the State of California. Placer County is subject to the provisions of Article XVI, Section 18 of the California Constitution and other similar

Interlocal Agreement between Placer County, CA and Washoe County, NV

fiscal and procurement laws and regulations and may not expend funds for products; equipment or services not budgeted in a given fiscal year. It is further understood that Placer County will adopt a proposed budget prior to a given fiscal year, but that the final adoption of a budget does not occur until after the beginning of the fiscal year. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, Placer County shall give notice of cancellation of this Agreement in the event of adoption of a proposed budget that does not provide for funds for the services, products or equipment subject herein. Such notice shall become effective upon the adoption of a final budget, which does not provide funding for this Agreement. Upon the effective date of such termination, which will be no earlier than thirty (30) days after the date of the termination notice, this Agreement shall be and Placer County released from any further liability hereunder, subject to payment for services performed and deliverables provided prior to the effectiveness of such termination. In addition to the above, should the Placer County Board of Supervisors during the course of a given year for financial reasons reduce or order a reduction in the budget for the Placer County District Attorney's Office or the Placer County Sheriff's Office, this Agreement may be canceled upon 30 days written notice, subject to payment for services performed provided prior to cancellation. Notice of cancellation shall be given to the addresses set forth in paragraph 3 above.

5. Parties as Independent Contractors:

In engaging in the activities described hereunder, the parties and their officers and employees are acting in an independent capacity and not as employees or agents of each other.

6. Indemnification, Waiver of Immunity and Insurance:

To the extent permitted by law, each party shall defend, indemnify and hold the other parties harmless against and from any and all claims, suits, losses, damages and liability for damages of every name, kind and description, including attorneys fees and costs incurred, brought for, or on account of, injuries to or death of any person, including but not limited to workers, employees and the public, or damage to property, which are claimed to or in any way arise out of or are connected with the services, operations, performance or other acts or omissions of such party hereunder, regardless of the existence or degree of fault or negligence on the part of the other party, its subcontractors and employee(s) or any of these, except for the sole or active negligence of such other party, its officers and employees, or as expressly prescribed by statute.

Each party acknowledges that by virtue of the activities performed in the jurisdiction of the other parties pursuant to this Interlocal Agreement, it may subject itself to suit in the courts of the other state, and that in such a suit, the immunities and defenses of its own state, including any limitation on damages, may not apply.

Pursuant to sections 287.010(1)(c) and 616B.300 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, Washoe County, its officers and employees are self-insured for all purposes, including but not limited to, workman's compensation, health benefits and liability.

County of Placer is exempt from insurance requirements of the State of California and is legally self-insured. Placer County employees are covered by primary workers' compensation coverage through a pooled retention.

7. Confidentiality:

All parties shall comply with California Welfare and Institutions Code and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act requirements regarding confidentiality of patient information and the applicable provisions of chapters 441A and 629 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, as well as any other pertinent provision of Nevada law, while the records generated under this Agreement are located in Nevada. Additionally, the Placer County SART, and Northern Nevada CARES/SART policy on patient confidentiality will be strictly adhered to.

8. Authority:

The California agents executing this agreement on behalf of their respective agencies personally warrant that they have full authority to enter into this agreement on behalf of the entity for which they are signing, and that said agency is legally bound to the agreement by their signature hereto.

9. Amendment:

This Interlocal Agreement may be amended or modified only by written, fully executed agreement of all parties ratified in accordance with the governing law of the jurisdiction.

10. Termination:

Any party may withdraw from this Interlocal Agreement, for any reason, by providing thirty (30) days written notice to all other parties to the Agreement at the addresses set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Interlocal Agreement between Placer County, CA and Washoe County, NV

11. Sole Agreement:

This Interlocal Agreement contains all the commitments and agreements of the parties, supersedes any prior agreement of the parties, and oral or written commitments not contained herein shall have no force or effect to alter any term or condition of this Agreement, unless modified in accordance with paragraph 9 above.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have set their hands with the intent to be bound.

WASHOE COUNTY

Marsha Berkbigler

Printed Name

PLACER COUNTY

Signature

Date

Sherett

Title

Placer County District Attorney's Office

Placer County District Attorney's Office

Signature

Date

Sherett

Title

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

BETWEEN

THE CITY OF RENO, ON BEHALF OF THE RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND WASHOE COUNTY, ON BEHALF OF THE WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

FOR THE MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSITION OF 2015 JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM AWARD

WHEREAS, the City of Reno and Washoe County have previously been individual recipients of Block Grant Funds and Byrne Grant Funds for their respective law enforcement entities; and

WHEREAS, changes in the federal program have now combined Byrne Grants and Block Grants into Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) which require regional applications with one entity acting as fiscal agent of the grant; and

WHEREAS, NRS 277.180 authorizes any one or more public agencies to contract with any one or more other public agencies to perform any governmental service, activity or undertaking which any of the public agencies entering into the contract is authorized by law to perform; and

WHEREAS, the City of Reno and Washoe County have agreed that the City of Reno should be the fiscal agent for the JAG grant application; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Government requires that a cooperative agreement between the parties, approved by the governing body of the proposed fiscal agent, accompany the grant application;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Fiscal Agent. The City of Reno shall be the fiscal agent for the JAG grant application currently being submitted in the amount of \$104,481.
- 2. Allocation of Funds. Should the JAG application be approved, the funds will be allocated in the following manner:

a. City of Reno, Reno Police Departmentb. Washoe County, Washoe County Sheriff's Office

\$62,688.60

\$41,792.40

If the funds approved are in an amount different than set forth in paragraph 1

above, then the funds will be allocated with the same percentage to each respective party ie. City of Reno 60% and Washoe County 40%.

- **Expenditure of Funds.** If approved, JAG funds are anticipated to be expended as follows:
 - a. Reno Police Department:
 - i. \$30,000.00: law enforcement equipment

- ii. \$32,688.60: law enforcement training
- b. Washoe County Sheriff's Office:
 - i. \$34,000.00: law enforcement equipment
 - ii. \$ 5,000.00: training/travel for Sheriff's Office personnel
 - iii. \$ 2,972.40: personnel (overtime for Sheriff's Office personnel, part time/hourly/intermittent personnel)
- **4. Approval.** Any reallocation of the funds from that stated in this Agreement will be submitted to the fiscal agent for approval.
- 5. Compliance. All parties agree to comply with all terms required under the grant application, grant requirements and all laws related to the receipt of funds pursuant to the grant terms. Any failure to comply by a party may adversely affect that party's right to receive funds under the grant.
- **Receipts.** The parties will be required to provide receipts to the City of Reno for the purchases prior to reimbursement. Receipts shall be provided to:

Bridget Pincolini Reno Police Department P.O. Box 1900 Reno, Nevada 89505

- 7. Monthly Reports. All parties will abide by the enhanced performance measure requirements of the Bureau of Justice Assistance and will provide monthly reports to the fiscal agent in order to meet the ten day after quarter deadlines
- **8. Fiscal and Programmatic Reporting**. The City of Reno will be responsible for fiscal and programmatic reporting.
- 9. **Defenses**. The parties will not waive and intend to assert available NRS chapter 41 liability limitations in all cases. Contract liability of all parties shall not be subject to punitive damages. To the extent applicable, actual contract damages for any breach shall be limited by NRS 353.260 and NRS 354.626.
- 10. Indemnification. Each party shall indemnify, hold harmless and defend, not excluding the other's right to participate, the other party from and against all liability, claims, actions, damages, losses, and expenses, including but not limited to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, arising out of any alleged negligent or willful acts or omissions of the indemnifying party, its officers, employees and agents. Such obligation shall not be construed to negate, abridge, or otherwise reduce any other right or obligation of indemnity which would otherwise exist as to any party or person described in the Agreement.

The indemnification obligation under paragraph 9 is conditioned upon receipt of written notice by the indemnifying party within 30 days of the indemnified party's

actual notice of any actual or pending claim or cause of action. The indemnifying party shall not be liable to hold harmless any attorneys' fees and costs for the indemnified party's chosen right to participate with legal counsel.

- 11. Successors and Assigns. The parties agree to bind themselves and their successors and assigns to the other party and to the successors and assigns of said party with respect to the performance of this Agreement. Except as otherwise set forth herein, none of the parties shall assign or transfer interest in this Agreement without the written consent of the other.
- 12. Authority. Each party acknowledges that the person signing this Agreement is authorized or has been authorized to enter into this Agreement on behalf of his principal.
- 13. Attorney's Fees. In the event any party files suit to enforce the terms of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.
- 14. No Third-Party Rights. The parties expressly disclaim the creation of any right in any third party whatsoever under this Agreement. There are no third-party beneficiaries. The only persons who may enforce this Agreement and any rights under this Agreement are the City and the parties to this Agreement.
- 15. Severability. If any section, subsection, clause, phrase, or word of this Agreement is for any reason held invalid, unenforceable or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such section, subsection, clause, phrase, or word shall be deemed a separate, distinct and independent provision and such holding shall not negatively affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Agreement. If any part of this Agreement is found to be in conflict with applicable laws, such part shall be inoperative, null and void insofar as it is in conflict with said laws, but the remainder of this Agreement shall be in full force and effect.
- 16. Jurisdiction. This Agreement shall be administered and interpreted under the laws of the State of Nevada. Enforcement of this Agreement shall be in a court of appropriate jurisdiction in Reno, Nevada.
- 17. Entire Agreement. This Agreement contains the entire agreement of the parties on the matters covered. There are no verbal agreements, representations, or understandings affecting this Agreement.
- 18. Transfer or Assign. Neither party shall transfer, assign or attempt to assign this Agreement or any part thereof to any third party, without prior written consent of the other party.
- 19. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in more than one counterpart, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

20. Termination. This Agreement may be terminated with or without cause by any party upon thirty (30) days written notice to the other parties. Termination shall not affect any of the rights or obligations of any party to the other accruing prior to the termination date.

| APPROVED this | day of June 2015 |
|----------------------------|---|
| RENO POLICE DEPARTMEN | T WASHOE COUNTY SHERTER'S OFFICE Chuck Allen, Sheriff |
| Jason Soto, Chief | Chuck Allen, Sherm |
| CITY OF RENO | WASHOE COUNTY, by and through its Board of County Commissioners |
| BY:Hillary Schieve., Mayor | BY: Marsha Berkbigler, Chairperson |
| DATE: | DATE: June 9, 2015 |
| ATTEST: | ATTEST |
| BY: City Clerk | BY: Any S. Karent County Clerk |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: | DV. Parlale mille |
| BY: Deputy City Attorney | Deputy District Attorney |

20. Termination. This Agreement may be terminated with or without cause by any party upon thirty (30) days written notice to the other parties. Termination shall not affect any of the rights or obligations of any party to the other accruing prior to the termination date.

| APPROVED this | day of June 2015 |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT | WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE |
| Jason Soto, Chief | Chuck Allen, Sheriff |
| CITY OF RENO | WASHOE COUNTY, by and through its Board of County Commissioners |
| BY: Je Je Hillary Schieve., Mayor | BY: Marsha Berkbigler, Chairperson |
| DATE: 6-17-15 | DATE: |
| ATTEST: | ATTEST: |
| BY: City Clerk | BY: County Clerk |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| BY: Deputy City Attorney | BY: Deputy District Attorney |
| | OF RENOWALL AND A PORATE AND A |

RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY TREASURER TO GIVE NOTICE OF THE SALE OF PROPERTIES SUBJECT TO THE LIEN OF A DELINQUENT ASSESSMENT; RATIFYING ALL ACTIONS PREVIOUSLY TAKEN; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners (the "Board") of the County of Washoe (the "County"), State of Nevada, pursuant to different ordinances heretofore duly passed and adopted, created County improvement districts as more particularly described at Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein (the "Districts"), and ordered the acquisition of improvements within said Districts, and determined to defray a portion of the entire cost and expense of such improvements by special assessments, according to benefits, against the benefited lots, tracts and parcels of land ("properties") in the respective Districts; and

WHEREAS, the Board has by their respective ordinances levied assessments against the properties; and

WHEREAS, the Board has directed and hereby reaffirm their direction to the County Treasurer to collect and enforce the assessments in the Districts in the manner provided by Nevada's Consolidated Local Improvements Law, NRS Chapter 271; and

WHEREAS, the assessment installments on certain properties in the Districts are delinquent, as identified in Exhibit A attached hereto ("delinquent properties"), and remain delinquent after delivery by certified mail, return receipt requested, of a ten day delinquency notice to each delinquent property owner; and

WHEREAS, the Board desires that the County Treasurer proceed with a notice of the sale of the delinquent properties as provided in NRS 271.545 and sell the delinquent properties pursuant to NRS 271.540 to NRS 271.630.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, STATE OF NEVADA AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Board hereby exercises its option to cause either the delinquent installment or the whole amount of the unpaid principal of the assessments on the delinquent properties to become due and payable as provided in NRS 271.410.
- 2. The Board hereby ratifies all action previously taken by the County Treasurer and directs the County Treasurer to give notice of the sale of the delinquent properties and to sell the delinquent properties pursuant to NRS 271.540 to NRS 271.630. The sale shall take place at 11:00 a.m. on July 23, 2015, in the Washoe County Central Conference Room, 1001 E 9Th St Building C, Reno Nevada, which the Board hereby finds a convenient location within the County.
- 3. If some irregularity or circumstance arises before the sale of any delinquent property such that in the opinion of the Washoe County Treasurer the public interest would best be served by withdrawing such a delinquent property from sale, the Washoe County Treasurer is hereby expressly authorized to make such a withdrawal. The Treasurer shall report to the Board in writing concerning his decision to make such a withdrawal and shall state the reasons for the decision.
- 4. The County Treasurer shall give the notice as provided in NRS 271.545, by publication in the Reno Gazette Journal, a newspaper of general circulation in the County, and such notice to be published at least once a week, for three consecutive publications, by three weekly insertions, the first such publication to be at least 15 days prior to the day of sale. It shall not be necessary that the notice be published on the same day of the week, but not less that 14 days shall intervene between the first publication and the last publication. Such service by publication shall be verified by the affidavit of the publisher and filed with the County Treasurer. The County Treasurer or his designee shall also give written notice of sale by mailing a copy of such notice, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, at least 20 days prior to the day of sale, to the last known owner or owners of all properties subject to sale or other designated person at his or

her last-known address or addresses; and to any person or governmental entity that appeared in the records of the County to have a lien or other interest in the delinquent property. Proof of such mailing shall be made by the affidavit of the County Treasurer or his designee and such proof shall be filed with the County Treasurer. Proof of the publication and proof of the mailing shall be maintained in the permanent records of the office of the County Treasurer until all special assessments and special assessment bonds issued (if such special assessment bonds have been or are hereafter issued) shall have been paid in full, both principal and interest, until any period of redemption has expired or property sold for an assessment, or until any claim is barred by an appropriate statute of limitations, whichever occurs last.

- 5. The officers of the County are hereby authorized to take all action necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Resolution.
 - 6. The resolution is effective on passage and approval.

ADOPTED this 9th Day of June 2015, by the following vote:

AYES: M. BERKBIGLER, V. Hartung, J. HERMAN & B. LUCEY

NAYS: ______

ABSENT: Kitty Jung

ABSTAIN: MONE

Chairman
Washoe County Commission

County Clerk

EXHIBIT A

Delinquent Parcels – by Assessment District - as of 05/20/2015

| WCAD #21 1 parcel | Cold Springs Sewer – District 5 |
|--|--|
| 556-082-01 | * |
| WCAD #29 1 parcel | Mt. Rose Sewer Phase 1 – District 1 |
| 048-151-16 | |
| WCAD #32 10 parcels | Spanish Springs Valley Ranch Rd Imp District 4 and 5 |
| 076-381-02 076-381-03 076-381-04 076-381-05 076-381-06 076-381-07 076-391-23 076-391-59 077-230-10 | |
| WCAD #35 1 parcel | Rhodes Road – District 2 |
| 017-380-32 | |
| WCAD #37 2 parcels | Spanish Springs Sewer Phase 1A – District 4 |
| 089-344-14 089-364-06 | |
| WCAD #39 2 parcels | Lightning W Water System Supply Improvement – District 2 |
| 055-292-07 055-421-08 | |

Total Parcels 17

Establish two, two hundred dollar (\$200.00) change funds, totaling four hundred dollars (\$400.00) for Washoe County Alternative Sentencing to accept cash payments from probationers for probationary supervision, lab fees and other specialty court fees

WHEREAS, The Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County, pursuant to NRS 354.609, has the authority to create and fund change and petty cash fund accounts; and

WHEREAS, Washoe County Alternative Sentencing has made a request for two, two hundred dollar (\$200.00) change funds totaling four hundred dollars (\$400.00) to accept payment from probationers for supervision, lab fees and other specialty court fees; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA as follows:

- 1. That, pursuant to the provisions of NRS 354.609, the County Treasurer and the County Comptroller are hereby authorized and directed to take all necessary steps to establish said change fund in the amount of totaling four hundred dollars (\$400.00), from the general fund of the county for Washoe County Alternative Sentencing.
- 2. That said cash fund be used exclusively for transactions related to the Washoe County Alternative Sentencing probationers cash payments for probationary supervision, lab fees and other specialty court fees.
- 4. That the Washoe County Alternative Sentencing Chief shall henceforth be held accountable for the four hundred dollars (\$400.00) change fund authorized by this resolution.
- 5. That the County Clerk is directed to distribute copies of this Resolution to the Washoe County Treasurer, Comptroller, Internal Auditor, Alternative Sentencing and the Nevada Department of Taxation.

ADOPTED this 09th of June 2015

WASHOE COUNTY COMMISSION

Chairman



WASHOE COUNTY Department of Alternative Sentencing 1 South Sierra Street Reno, NV 89501 (775) 327-8381



May 4, 2015

Washoe County Treasurer Attention: Frances Finch

Re:

Cash Drawer

I respectfully request that our department be permitted to utilize two cash drawers in the amount of \$200.00 each. DAS accepts cash for probation supervision fees, lab fees, and specialty court participation fees. We accept payments from probationers at two separate locations, 1 South Sierra Street, Reno, and at Sparks Justice Court.

Sincerely,

Joe Ingraham, Chief

NOTICE: This communication, including any attachments, may contain confidential information and is intended only for the individual or entity whom it is addressed. Any review, dissemination, or copying of this communication by anyone other than the recipient is strictly prohibited by the electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email, delete and destroy all copies of the original message.

15-0448

RESOLUTION TO AUGMENT THE BUDGET OF THE WASHOE COUNTY HEALTH BENEFITS FUND

WHEREAS, the fiscal year 2014-15 expenditures in the Washoe County Health Benefits Fund are anticipated to exceed existing budget authority; and

WHEREAS, the Health Benefits Fund has sufficient cash to meet these additional expenditures;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Washoe, State of Nevada:

Section 1. That the budget of the Washoe County Health Benefits Fund be augmented to increase expenditure authority as follows:

710514 Regulatory Assessments

\$ 555,000

710740 Health Insurance Premiums

\$1,045,000

Section 2. This resolution shall be effective on passage and approval.

Section 3. The County Clerk is hereby directed to distribute copies of the Resolution to the Comptroller's Office and to the Manager's Office.

Adopted this

day of fire 2015

are

Chairman, Washoe County

Board of County Commissioners

County Clerk

15.0452

2016 INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT TO USE ACCOUNT FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSING WELFARE SET-ASIDE FUNDS BY WASHOE COUNTY

THIS AGREEMENT is made between Washoe County a political subdivision of the State of Nevada, (hereinafter called "Washoe") by and through its Board of County Commissioners, and the Nevada Housing Division of the Department of Business and Industry of the State of Nevada, (hereinafter called "NHD).

WHEREAS, NHD is the administering agency for the Account for Low-Income Housing hereinafter called "Trust Fund".

WHEREAS, NHD desires to assist Washoe by providing Trust Funds to Washoe on behalf of its Social Services Department, hereinafter called "Social Services" in order to assist with qualified welfare set-aside activities under Trust Fund Administrative Guidelines, statutes, and regulations.

WHEREAS, the Interlocal Cooperation Act authorizes public agencies to enter into cooperative agreements allowing the joint exercise of any power, privilege or authority capable of exercise by one of them, see, NRS 277.080, et. seq.; and

WHEREAS, Washoe and NHD are public agencies within the meaning of the Interlocal Cooperation Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing premises, that the use of Trust Funds be conveyed to Washoe on behalf of Social Services, by NHD subject to the following conditions and limitations:

I. Scope of Services.

- A. NHD will provide, effective July 1, 2015, funds not to exceed the total of \$162,015.00 to assist Washoe with qualified Trust Fund activities hereinafter referred to as "Activities."
- B. Washoe agrees that any program costs, with regard to the distribution of welfare set-aside funds unless otherwise specified will be the responsibility of Washoe through Social Services. Any ongoing activity costs such as maintenance and operations shall be the sole responsibility of Washoe through Social Services.
- C. Before disbursing Trust Funds to any recipient, Washoe agrees to enter into an agreement by way of a signed application with the recipient.
 - D. Changes in the Scope of Services as outlined herein must be in accordance

with NRS 319 and NAC 319, made by written amendment to this Agreement and approved by both parties. Any such changes must not jeopardize the Trust Fund program.

- II. Division General Conditions. Washoe agrees to abide by all conditions fully set forth below.
- A. Washoe has requested the financial support of NHD that is provided for in this Agreement in order to enable Washoe to provide emergency housing assistance. NHD shall have no relationship whatsoever with the services provided, except the provision of financial support, monitoring, and the receipt of such reports as are provided for herein. To the extent, if at all, that any relationship to such services on the part of NHD may be claimed or found to exist, Washoe shall be an independent contractor only.
- B. Washoe will provide NHD with client usage records per activity on a monthly basis (quarterly data will be allowed if approved in advanced) during the period of this Agreement. Failure to provide this information in the required timeframe may result in forfeiture of these funds. Records will contain, but are not limited to, the following data:
 - 1. Total clients served;
 - 2. Race and ethnicity breakdown of clients served in accordance with the U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development criteria;
 - 3. Name or client number of each head of household served;
 - 4. Household income for clients served;
 - 5. Number of persons in each household served;
 - 6. Type of assistance provided to each household served; and
 - 7. Other information as indicated in the Exhibit F-Welfare Set-Aside Client Information Report.
- C. Washoe will not use any portion of the allocated Trust Funds for other than qualified Trust Fund activities, as defined in NRS 319 and NAC 319. Any recipient or subgrantee must meet program requirements and serve eligible families.
- D. Washoe may not assign or delegate any of its rights, interests or duties under this Agreement without the prior written consent of NHD. Any such assignment or delegation made without the required consent shall be voidable by NHD, and may at the option of NHD, result in the forfeiture of all financial support provided herein.
- E. Washoe shall allow duly authorized representatives of NHD to conduct such occasional reviews, audits and on-site monitoring of activities as NHD deems to be appropriate in order to determine:
 - 1. Whether the objectives of the program are being achieved;
 - 2. Whether the program is being conducted in an efficient and effective manner;
 - 3. Whether management control systems and internal procedures have been established to meet the objectives of the program;

- 4. Whether the financial operations of the program are being conducted properly; and
- 5. Whether the periodic reports to NHD contain accurate and reliable information.

Visits by NHD shall be announced to Washoe in advance of those visits and shall occur during normal operating hours. The representatives of NHD may request, and, if such a request is made, shall be granted, access to all of the records of Washoe which relate to the program. The representatives of NHD may, from time to time, interview recipients of the housing services of the program who volunteer to be interviewed.

F. At any time during normal business hours, Washoe's records with respect to the Program shall be made available for audit, examination and review by NHD, the Attorney General's Office, contracted independent auditors, Legislative Counsel Bureau, or any combination thereof.

G. Limited Liability

The parties will not waive and intend to assert available NRS chapter 41 liability limitations in all cases. Contract liability of both parties shall not be subject to punitive damages. To the extent applicable, actual contract damages for any breach shall be limited by NRS 353.260 and NRS 354.626.

H Indemnification

- 1. Consistent with the Limited Liability paragraph of this Contract, each party shall indemnify, hold harmless and defend, not excluding the other's right to participate, the other party from and against all liability, claims, actions, damages, losses, and expenses, including but not limited to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, arising out of any alleged negligent or willful acts or omissions of the indemnifying party, its officers, employees and agents. Such obligation shall not be construed to negate, abridge, or otherwise reduce any other right or obligation of indemnity which would otherwise exist as to any party or person described in this paragraph.
- 2. The indemnification obligation under this paragraph is conditioned upon receipt of written notice by the indemnifying party within 30 days of the indemnified party's actual notice of any actual or pending claim or cause of action. The indemnifying party shall not be liable to hold harmless any attorneys' fees and costs for the indemnified party's chosen right to participate with legal counsel.
- I. Washoe will not use any funds or resources which are supplied by NHD in litigation against any person, natural or otherwise, or in its own defense in any such litigation and also agrees to notify NHD of any legal action which is filed by or against it in conjunction with this program.
- J. This Agreement will commence upon its approval and signature and appropriate official action by the governing body of both parties. Funds allocated by NHD to Washoe under this agreement must be used within 3 years after its award to Washoe as defined in

NAC 319. Upon written request by Washoe and for good cause, NHD may extend the period of the grant for not more than 1 year.

- K. In the event that Washoe and/or NHD anticipate the total amount of funds allocated for this Agreement will not be expended, NHD reserves the right to extract that portion for other projects/programs operated under NHD's Trust Fund program.
- L. Washoe agrees that no public officer or public employee of Washoe may seek or accept any gifts, service, favor, employment, engagement, emolument or economic opportunity which would tend improperly to influence a reasonable person in that position to depart from the faithful and impartial discharge of the public duties of that position.
- M. Washoe agrees that no public officer or public employee of Washoe may use his or her position in government to secure or grant any unwarranted privilege, preference, exemption or advantage for himself or herself, any member of his or her household, any business entity in which he or she has a significant pecuniary interest or any other person. As used herein, "unwarranted" means without justification or adequate reason.
- N. Washoe agrees that no public officer or public employee of Washoe may participate as an agent of Washoe in the negotiation or execution of a contract between Washoe and any private business in which he or she has a significant pecuniary interest.
- O. Washoe agrees that no public officer or public employee of Washoe may suppress any report or other document because it might tend to affect unfavorably his or her pecuniary interests.
- P. Washoe, and any subgrantee, shall be bound by all county ordinances and state and federal statutes, conditions, regulations and assurances which are applicable to the entire Welfare Set-Aside Program or are required by NHD.
- Q. Any material breach of this section may in the discretion of NHD, result in forfeiture of all unexpended Welfare Set-Aside Program funds received by Washoe pursuant to this Agreement, or any part thereof.
- R. No officer, employee or agent of NHD shall have any interest, direct or indirect, financial or otherwise, in any contract or subcontract or the proceeds thereof, for any of the work to be performed pursuant to the activity during the period of service of such officer, employee or agent, for one year thereafter.

III. Financial Management.

A. Washoe agrees, and shall require any subgrantee to agree, that all costs of any activity receiving funds pursuant to this agreement, shall be recorded by budget line items and

be supported by checks, payrolls, time records, invoices, contracts, vouchers, orders and other accounting documents evidencing in proper detail the nature and propriety of the respective charges, and that all checks, payrolls, time records, invoices, contracts, vouchers, orders or other accounting documents which pertain, in whole or in part, to the activity shall be thoroughly identified and readily accessible to NHD.

- B. Washoe agrees that excerpts or transcripts of all checks, payrolls, time records, invoices, contracts, vouchers, orders and other accounting documents related to or arguably related to the activity will be provided upon request to NHD.
- C. Washoe agrees that it may not request disbursement of funds under this Agreement until the funds are needed for payment of eligible costs. The amount of each request must be limited to the amount needed.

IV. Modification or Revocation of Agreement.

- A. NHD and Washoe will amend or otherwise revise this Agreement should such modification be required by NRS 319 or NAC 319.
- B. In the event that any of the Trust Fund monies, for any reason, are terminated or withheld from NHD or otherwise not forthcoming, NHD may revoke this Agreement with 15 days written notification to Washoe.
- C. NHD may, with 15 days written notification, suspend or terminate this agreement if Washoe fails to comply with any of its terms.
- D. In the event the Washoe County Board of Commissioners does not appropriate funds necessary to carry out the purposes of this Agreement, the County may terminate the Agreement upon 15 days written notification to the Division.
- E. This agreement may be terminated at the convenience of NHD with 15 days written notice.
- F. This Agreement constitutes the entire Agreement between the parties and may only be modified by a written amendment signed by the parties, or as otherwise set forth in the terms of the Agreement.
- G. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Nevada. In the event litigation ensues arising out of this Agreement, it shall be filed in the Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County, Nevada.

| IN WITNESS WHEREOF, | the parties hereto | have caused this | Agreement to be |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| signed and intend to be legally bound | thereby, this | day of | , 2015. |

| WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS | NEVADA HOUSING DIVISION |
|--|--|
| Marsha Berkhaler Signature Marsha Berkhaler Name of Chairman Date | CJ Manthe Administrator |
| Attest. Attest. County Clerk/Signature Dated: 16/9/15 By: Nancy Parent Printed Name | State of Nevada) Carson City) On this day of, 2015, before me, a Notary Public, personally appeared, who did say that she is the Administrator of the Nevada Housing Division, named in the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same. |
| | Notary Public |

WHEREAS, The West Truckee Meadows Citizen Advisory Board was formed by the Board of County Commissioners on May 22, 1990 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of West Truckee Meadows area and reestablished by the Board of County Commissioners on April 23, 2013; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the West Truckee Meadows Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the West Truckee Meadows Citizen Advisory board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on land-use, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this amendment to the purpose of the West Truckee Meadows Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

ADOPTED this 9th day of June, 2015.

oe County Clerk

WHEREAS, The Warm Springs/Rural Citizen Advisory Board was formed by the Board of County Commissioners on May 22, 1980 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of Warm Springs/Rural area and re-established by the Board of County Commissioners on April 23, 2013; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the Warm Springs/Rural Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the Warm Springs/Rural Citizen Advisory Board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on land-use, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this amendment to the purpose of the Warm Springs/Rural Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

Marsha Berkbigler, Chairman

ADOPTED this 9th day of June, 2015.

WHEREAS, The Verdi Citizen Advisory Board was formed by the Board of County Commissioners on May 19, 1981 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of Verdi area and re-established by the Board of County Commissioners on April 23, 2013; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the Verdi Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the Verdi Citizen Advisory Board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on landuse, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this amendment to the purpose of the Verdi Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

ADOPTED this 9th day of June, 2015.

WHEREAS, The Sun Valley Citizen Advisory Board was formed by the Board of County Commissioners on October 28, 1980 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of Sun Valley area and re-established by the Board of County Commissioners on April 23, 2013; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the Sun Valley Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the Sun Valley Citizen Advisory board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on landuse, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this amendment to the purpose of the Sun Valley Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

ADOPTED this 9th day of June, 2015.

Marsha Berkbigler, Chairman

WHEREAS, The South Truckee Meadows Washoe Valley Citizens Advisory Board was formed by the Board of County Commissioners on April 23, 2015 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of Washoe County Commission District 2; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed that membership of Citizen Advisory Boards be standardized to five at-large members and two at-large alternates representing in its entirety to the greatest extent possible, the entire CAB geographic area; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the South Truckee Meadows Washoe Valley Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the South Truckee Meadows Washoe Valley Citizen Advisory Board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on land-use, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the membership of the South Truckee Meadows Washoe Valley Citizen Advisory Board is amended to consist five (5) at-large positions and two (2) at-large alternates will be appointed to the extent reasonably possible with consideration given to equable geographical representation within the Citizen Advisory Board area; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That membership will be reduced by attrition maintaining vacant positions until membership can be reduced by odd numbers to the established size; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that this amendment to the membership of the South Truckee Meadows Washoe Valley Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

ADOPTED this 9 day of June, 2015.

Marsha Berkbigler, Chairman

WHEREAS, The Spanish Springs Citizens Advisory Board was formed by the Board of County Commissioners on October 11, 1983 and recreated on April 23, 2015 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of Washoe County Commission District 4; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the Spanish Springs Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the Spanish Springs Citizen Advisory Board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on land-use, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this amendment to the membership of the Spanish Springs Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

ADOPTED this 9 day of June, 2015.

achoa County Clark

WHEREAS, The North Valleys Citizens Advisory Board was formed by the Board of County Commissioners on July 13, 1982 and recreated on April 23, 2015 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of Washoe County Commission District 5; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed that membership of Citizen Advisory Boards be standardized to five at-large members and two at-large alternates representing in its entirety to the greatest extent possible, the entire CAB geographic area; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the North Valleys Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the North Valleys Citizen Advisory Board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on landuse, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the membership of the North Valleys Citizen Advisory Board is amended to consist five (5) at-large positions and two (2) at-large alternates will be appointed to the extent reasonably possible with consideration given to equable geographical representation within the Citizen Advisory Board area; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That membership will be reduced by attrition maintaining vacant positions until membership can be reduced by odd numbers to the established size; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that this amendment to the membership of the North Valleys Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

ADOPTED this 9 day of June, 2015.

Marsha Berkbigler, Chairman

WHEREAS, The Incline Village Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board was formed by the Board of County Commissioners on March 17, 1975 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of the Incline Village Crystal Bay area and re-established by the Board of County Commissioners on June 17, 1980 and April 23, 2013; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed that membership of Citizen Advisory Boards be standardized to five at-large members and two at-large alternates representing in its entirety to the greatest extent possible, the entire CAB geographic area; and

WHEREAS, The County Commissioner representing Commission District 1 desires that the membership of the Incline Village Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board be amended to consist of all at-large alternate positions eliminating appointments to specific membership positions; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the Incline Village Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the Incline Village Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on land-use, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the membership of the Incline Village Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board is amended to consist five at-large positions and 2 at-large alternates will be appointed to the extent reasonably possible with consideration given to equable geographical representation within the Citizen Advisory Board area; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this amendment to the membership of the Incline Village Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

ADOPTED this 9 day of June, 2015.

Vacent

Marsha Berkbigler, Chairma

WHEREAS, East Truckee Canyon Citizen Advisory Board was reestablished by the Board of County Commissioners on April 23, 2015 to act in an advisory and liaison capacity with respect to governing the affairs of Washoe County Commission District 4; and

WHEREAS, The Washoe County Commission directed on May 12, 2015 to enact changes to the Citizen Advisory Board program that addresses the purpose of the program as an avenue to discuss neighborhood planning and development within Washoe County's jurisdiction; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the East Truckee Canyon Citizen Advisory Board be restructured, as amended in the following paragraph, under the authority of Washoe County Code Section 5.429; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the purpose of the East Truckee Canyon Citizen Advisory Board is to provide feedback and two-way discussion between the community and elected officials on land-use, planning, proposed development and related concerns within Washoe County's jurisdiction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this amendment to the purpose of the East Truckee Canyon Citizen Advisory Board become effective the date this Resolution is adopted.

ADOPTED this 9 day of June, 2015.

15-0478

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN INTERFUND LOAN FROM THE GENERAL FUND (100) TO CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES FUND (228)

WHEREAS, the General Fund of the County (Fund 100) has sufficient unrestricted cash resources to finance a short-term interfund loan in an amount not to exceed \$3,000,000 without adversely affecting its cash needs; and

WHEREAS, the Child Protective Services Fund (Fund 228) is in need of a short-term cash loan to cover a temporary cash flow problem due to late reimbursements and payments due from the State and Federal governments; and

WHEREAS, an interfund loan from the General Fund in the amount of \$3,000,000 will not in any way adversely impact the economic viability of the General Fund.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Washoe, State of Nevada:

<u>Section 1</u>. The County Comptroller is hereby directed to make transfers on an as needed basis not to exceed \$3,000,000 from the General Fund (Fund 100) to the Child Protective Services Fund (Fund 228) to cover a temporary cash flow problem.

Section 2. The cash interfund transaction for this loan is as follows:

| | <u>Amount</u> | |
|---|---------------|-------------|
| | Debit | Credit |
| General Fund (Fund 100) Due from Child Protective Services Fund (Fund 228) Cash | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 |
| Child Protective Services Fund (Fund 228) Cash Due to General Fund (100) | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 |

<u>Section 3.</u> The term of the interfund loan shall be 6 months, commencing on the day of the first transfer, to be repaid on or before 6 calendar months.

Section 4. Interest will be charged at the rate of 0 % per year on the outstanding balance of the loan.

<u>Section 5</u>. This Resolution shall be effective on passage and approval and will remain in effect until May 31, 2016.

<u>Section 6</u>. The County Clerk is hereby directed to distribute copies of the Resolution to the Department of Taxation, Comptroller, and the Budget Division.

| Adopted this | day of June | _, 2015. |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| 10 D . | | |
| Chairman, Washoe Co | ounty/ | |
| Board of County Com | nmissioners | |
| Aliesti ancy | L. Varest | |
| County Clerk | | |
| | | |
| | | |